arXiv:1512.09109v1 [math.RT] 30 Dec 2015

HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN DIFFERENT QUANTUM TOROIDAL AND AFFINE YANGIAN ALGEBRAS

ALEXANDER TSYMBALIUK AND MIKHAIL BERSHTEIN

Abstract. This paper concerns the relation between the quantum toroidal algebras and the affine Yangians of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, denoted by $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$, respectively. Our motivation arises from the milestone work [GTL], where a similar relation between the quantum loop algebra $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yangian $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ has been established by constructing an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebras $\Phi : \widehat{U}_{\exp(\hbar)}(L\mathfrak{g}) \to \widehat{Y}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ (with $\widehat{\ }$ standing for the appropriate completions). These two completions model the behavior of the algebras in the formal neighborhood of $h = 0$. The same construction can be applied to the toroidal setting with $q_i = \exp(\hbar_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (see [GTL, T]). In the current paper, we are interested in the more general relation: $\mathrm{q}_1 = \omega_{mn} e^{h_1/m}, \mathrm{q}_2 = e^{h_2/m}, \mathrm{q}_3 = \omega_{mn}^{-1} e^{h_3/m}$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ω_{mn} is an mnth root of 1. For any such choice of m, n, ω_{mn} and the corresponding values $\mathrm{q}_1, \mathrm{q}_2, \mathrm{q}_3$, we construct a homomorphism $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ from the completion of the formal version of $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{\mathrm{q}_1,\mathrm{q}_2,\mathrm{q}_3}$ to the completion of the formal version of $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn)}_{h_1/mn,h_2/mn,h_3/mn}$. We also construct homomorphisms $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$ between the completions of the formal versions of $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{\mathrm{q}_1,\mathrm{q}_2,\mathrm{q}_3}$ with different parameters m and ω_{mn}. The existence of the homomorphisms $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ and $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$ explains the similarity between the representation theories of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ with different parameters n.

Introduction

Given a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, one can associate to it two interesting Hopf algebras: the quantum loop algebra $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yangian $Y_h(\mathfrak{g})$. Their *classical limits*, corresponding to the limits $q \to 1$ or $h \to 0$, recover the universal enveloping algebras $U(\mathfrak{g}[z, z^{-1}])$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}[w])$, respectively. The representation theories of $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ and $Y_h(\mathfrak{g})$ have a lot of common features:

-the descriptions of finite dimensional simple representations involve *Drinfeld polynomials*,

-these algebras act on the equivariant K-theories/cohomologies of Nakajima quiver varieties.

However, there was no explicit justification for that until the recent construction from [GTL]. In the *loc. cit.*, the authors construct a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra isomorphism

$$\Phi : \widehat{U}_{e^\hbar}(L\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{Y}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$$

of the appropriately completed formal versions of these algebras. Taking the limit $h \to 0$ corresponds to factoring by $(\hbar)$ in the formal setting. The *classical limit* of the above isomorphism

$$\bar{\Phi} : U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}[z, z^{-1}]}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{U(\mathfrak{g}[w])},$$

is induced by $\varprojlim \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]/(z-1)^r \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim \mathbb{C}[w]/(w)^r \simeq \mathbb{C}[[w]]$ with $z \mapsto e^w$.

In the current paper, we generalize this construction to the case of the quantum toroidal algebras and the affine Yangians of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_1$. To make our notation uniform, we use $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ to denote the quantum toroidal algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ (if $n \geq 2$) and of $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ (if $n = 1$). This algebra depends on three nonzero parameters q_1, q_2, q_3 such that $q_1 q_2 q_3 = 1$. We also use $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ to denote the affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ (if $n \geq 2$) and of $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ (if $n = 1$). This algebra depends on three

parameters h_1, h_2, h_3 such that $h_1 + h_2 + h_3 = 0$. For $n \geq 2$, these algebras were introduced long time ago by [GKV, G] [1]. However, the quantum toroidal algebra and the affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ appeared only recently in the works of different people, see [M, FT, SV1, MO, SV2, T].

In Theorem 3.2, we construct a homomorphism

$$\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$$

from the completion of the formal version of $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ to the completion of the formal version of $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$. Formal versions mean that we consider these algebras over the ring $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]$ with

$$h_1 = \frac{\hbar_1}{mn},\ h_2 = \frac{\hbar_2}{mn},\ h_3 = \frac{\hbar_3}{mn} \ \text{ and } \ q_1 = \omega_{mn} e^{\frac{\hbar_1}{m}},\ q_2 = e^{\frac{\hbar_2}{m}},\ q_3 = \omega_{mn}^{-1} e^{\frac{\hbar_3}{m}},$$

where $\hbar_3 = -\hbar_1 - \hbar_2$ and $\omega_N \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is an Nth root of unity. For $n = 1 = \omega_{mn}$, we recover an analogue of the homomorphism Φ applied in the toroidal setting (see [T] for $m = n = \omega_{mn} = 1$). In contrast to [GTL, T], our new feature is that we construct homomorphisms between formal versions of quantum and Yangian algebras corresponding to different Lie algebras. Another difference is that q_1 is in the formal neighborhood of a root of unity, not necessarily equal to 1.

The structure of formulas for $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ is similar to that in [GTL]. Let $\{e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}, h_{i,k}\}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}_{0\leq i\leq m-1}$ be the generators of $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ and $\{x^{\pm}_{i',r}, \xi_{i',r}\}^{r\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}}_{0\leq i'\leq mn-1}$ be the generators of $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$. We use the same notation for the case of formal versions. Let $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),0}_{h_1,h_2,h_3} \subset \mathcal{Y}^{(mn)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\xi_{i',r}$. Then, we have:

- the images $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(h_{i,k})$ belong to the completion of $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),0}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$,
- the images $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(e_{i,k})$ are of the form $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(e_{i,k}) = \sum_{i'\underset{m}{\equiv} i} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} g^{(k)}_{i',r} x^{+}_{i',r}$,
- the images $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(f_{i,k})$ are of the form $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(f_{i,k}) = \sum_{i'\underset{m}{\equiv} i} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} g^{(k)}_{i',r} x^{-}_{i',r}$,

where $g^{(k)}_{i',r}$ belong to the completion of $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),0}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$.

Our motivation partially comes from [BBT], where a 4d AGT relation on the ALE space X_n (minimal resolution of A_{n-1} singularity $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_n$) was studied. The main tool in [BBT] was the limit of K-theoretic (5 dimensional) AGT relation on $\mathbb{C}^2$, where $q_1 \to \omega_n, q_2 \to 1$. Recall that the quantum toroidal algebra $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ acts on the equivariant K-theory of the moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on $\mathbb{C}^2$, while the affine Yangian $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ acts on the equivariant cohomologies of the moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on X_n. Therefore, it was conjectured in [BBT] that the limit of $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ as $q_1 \to \omega_n, q_2 \to 1$ should be related to the affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. The $m = 1$ case of our Theorem 3.2 can be viewed as a precise formulation of this idea. We also refer an interested reader to [K] for the related work.

Another new feature of the toroidal setting is an existence of homomorphisms

$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$$

between completions of the formal versions of different quantum toroidal algebras, see Theorem 3.3. We are not aware of a similar phenomena for classical quantum loop algebras.

To find the formulas for the images of $h_{i,k}, e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}$ under $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ and $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$ as well as to check all the defining relations, except for the *Serre relations*, we used the same reasoning as in [GTL]. However, we are not aware of how to deduce the *Serre relations* from all others in contrast to [GTL]. Therefore, we choose a different approach to prove Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. We construct isomorphisms between faithful representations of the algebras in question, which are compatible with the formulas for $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ and $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$. This immediately proves both theorems.

[1] Actually, we will need to modify slightly their construction in the $n = 2$ case.

This paper is organized as follows:

• In Section 1, we recall the definition of the quantum toroidal algebra $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ and the affine Yangian $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ (if $n \geq 2$) and $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ (if $n = 1$). They depend on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and continuous parameters $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ or $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $q_1q_2q_3 = 1$ and $h_1 + h_2 + h_3 = 0$. We also explain the way one can view the algebras $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ as "additivizations" of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$.

We recall a family of Fock $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$-representations $F^p(u)$ ($p \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, u \in \mathbb{C}^\times$) from [FJMM1] and introduce a similar class of Fock $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$-representations ${}^aF^p(v)$ ($p \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, v \in \mathbb{C}$).

• In Section 2, we introduce the formal versions of these algebras and study their *classical limits*. Let $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ be an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]$ with the same collections of the generators and the defining relations as for $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,-h_1-h_2}$ with $h_1 \rightsquigarrow \hbar_1/n$ and $h_2 \rightsquigarrow \hbar_2/n$.

One can similarly define the formal versions of $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$, but this heavily depends on the presentation of $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]$. In this paper, we are interested in the behavior of the algebras $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ as $q_1 \to \omega_N, q_2 \to 1, q_3 \to \omega_N^{-1}$ and $h_1, h_2, h_3 \to 0$, respectively. Therefore, we will be mainly concerned with the following relation between $\{h_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$:

$$\mathrm{q}_1 = \omega_N \cdot \exp(h_1/m),\ \mathrm{q}_2 = \exp(h_2/m),\ \mathrm{q}_3 = \omega_N^{-1} \exp(h_3/m).$$

The formal version of the corresponding $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{\mathrm{q}_1,\mathrm{q}_2,\mathrm{q}_3}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_N,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$.

Taking the limit $h_2 \to 0$ corresponds to factoring by $(\hbar_2)$ in the formal setting. We describe the *classical limits* $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_N,'}_{\hbar_1} = \mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_N,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}/(\hbar_2)$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1} = \mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}/(\hbar_2)$. They are closely related to the matrix algebras with values in the rings of *difference* or *differential* operators on $\mathbb{C}^\times$, respectively. Finally, we show that the algebras $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_N,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ are flat $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_2]]$-deformations of the corresponding limit algebras $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_N,'}_{\hbar_1}$. We also prove that the direct sum of all legible finite tensor products of Fock modules for either $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ or $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_N,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ form a faithful representation of the corresponding algebra.

• In Section 3, we present the main results of this paper. We construct the homomorphisms

$$\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an order mn root of unity $\omega_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We compute the *classical limit* of $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$, where we use the aforementioned identification of the limit algebras $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_{\hbar_1}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1}$ with matrix algebras over the rings of difference or differential operators on $\mathbb{C}^\times$.

We also construct the homomorphisms

$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and two roots of unity ω', ω such that ω'/ω^n is an mnth root of unity.

Finally, we establish the compatibilities between different homomorphisms from above.

• In Section 4, we construct isomorphisms between tensor products of the Fock modules for $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$, which are compatible with the defining formulas for $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$. We also construct isomorphisms between tensor products of the Fock modules for $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{(mn),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$, which are compatible with the defining formulas for $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$. Combining these results with the faithfulness statement from Section 2, we deduce that the homomorphisms $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}, \Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$ are well-defined (Theorems 3.2, 3.3) and prove compatibilities between them (Theorems 3.8, 3.9).

Finally, we recall the geometric realization of tensor products of the Fock modules for the quantum toroidal algebra and the affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. We conclude by providing a geometric interpretation of the aforementioned two isomorphisms of tensor products of the Fock modules.

1. Basic definitions and constructions

In this section, we introduce the key objects of interest: the quantum toroidal algebra and the affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. We also recall the family of Fock representations for these two algebras.

1.1. Quantum toroidal algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ $(n \geq 2)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_1$.

The quantum toroidal algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ $(n > 2)$, depending on $q, d \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, were first introduced in [GKV]. The quantum toroidal algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ was introduced much later in the works of different people, see [M, FT, SV1]. Finally, the correct version of the quantum toroidal of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ was proposed in [FJMM2]. To make our exposition shorter, we use the uniform notation $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ for such algebras, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q_1 = d/q, q_2 = q^2, q_3 = 1/(dq)$, so that $q_1q_2q_3 = 1$. This algebra coincides with the quotient of the algebra $\mathcal{E}_n$ from [FJMM2] by $q^c = 1$. Since the former algebra was called the "quantum toroidal of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$" in the *loc. cit.*, we will refer to $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ as the *quantum toroidal algebra of* $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ (see the above explanation for the cases of $n = 1, 2$).

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $[n] := \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ which should be viewed as a set of mod n residues. Let $(a_{i,j})^{j\in[n]}_{i\in[n]}$ be the Cartan matrix of type $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$ and a zero matrix for $n = 1$. Consider two collections of constants $\{d_{i,j}\}^{j\in[n]}_{i\in[n]}$ and $\{m_{i,j}\}^{j\in[n]}_{i\in[n]}$ defined by

$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} d^{\mp 1} & \text{if } \ j = i \pm 1 \text{ and } n > 2 \\ -1 & \text{if } \ j \neq i \text{ and } n = 2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ j = i - 1 \text{ and } n > 2 \\ -1 & \text{if } \ j = i + 1 \text{ and } n > 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Finally, we define a collection of polynomials $\{g_{i,j}(z, w)\}^{j\in[n]}_{i\in[n]}$ as follows:

$$g_{i,j}(z,w) = \begin{cases} z - q^{a_{i,j}} d^{-m_{i,j}} w & \text{if } \ n > 2 \\ z - q_2 w & \text{if } \ i = j \text{ and } n = 2 \\ (z - q_1 w)(z - q_3 w) & \text{if } \ i \neq j \text{ and } n = 2 \\ (z - q_1 w)(z - q_2 w)(z - q_3 w) & \text{if } \ n = 1 \end{cases}.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ is an associative unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $\{e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}, \psi_{i,k}, \psi^{-1}_{i,0}\}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}_{i\in[n]}$ with the defining relations (T0–T6) to be given below:

$$\psi_{i,0} \cdot \psi^{-1}_{i,0} = \psi^{-1}_{i,0} \cdot \psi_{i,0} = 1, \ [\psi^{\pm}_i(z), \psi^{\pm}_j(w)] = 0, \ [\psi^{+}_i(z), \psi^{-}_j(w)] = 0, \tag{T0}$$

$$[e_i(z), f_j(w)] = \delta_{i,j} \cdot \delta(w/z)(\psi^+_i(w) - \psi^-_i(z))/(q - q^{-1}), \tag{T1}$$

$$d_{i,j} g_{i,j}(z,w) e_i(z) e_j(w) = -g_{j,i}(w,z) e_j(w) e_i(z), \tag{T2}$$

$$d_{j,i} g_{j,i}(w,z) f_i(z) f_j(w) = -g_{i,j}(z,w) f_j(w) f_i(z), \tag{T3}$$

$$d_{i,j} g_{i,j}(z,w) \psi^{\pm}_i(z) e_j(w) = -g_{j,i}(w,z) e_j(w) \psi^{\pm}_i(z), \tag{T4}$$

$$d_{j,i} g_{j,i}(w,z) \psi^{\pm}_i(z) f_j(w) = -g_{i,j}(z,w) f_j(w) \psi^{\pm}_i(z), \tag{T5}$$

where these generating series are defined as follows:

$$e_i(z) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e_{i,k} z^{-k}, \ \ f_i(z) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{i,k} z^{-k}, \ \ \psi^{\pm}_i(z) := \psi^{\pm 1}_{i,0} + \sum_{r>0} \psi_{i,\pm r} z^{\mp r}, \ \ \delta(z) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} z^k.$$

Set $[a, b]_x := ab - x \cdot ba$. Let us now specify (T6), called the *Serre relations*.

- *Case* $n > 2$: we impose

$$[e_i(z), e_j(w)] = 0, \ [f_i(z), f_j(w)] = 0 \ \text{ if } a_{i,j} = 0,$$

$$\operatorname*{Sym}_{z_1,z_2}[e_i(z_1),[e_i(z_2),e_{i\pm1}(w)]_q]_{q^{-1}}=0,\ \operatorname*{Sym}_{z_1,z_2}[f_i(z_1),[f_i(z_2),f_{i\pm1}(w)]_q]_{q^{-1}}=0.$$

- *Case* $n=2$: we impose

$$\operatorname*{Sym}_{z_1,z_2,z_3}[e_i(z_1),[e_i(z_2),[e_i(z_3),e_{i+1}(w)]_{q^2}]]_{q^{-2}}=0,$$

$$\operatorname*{Sym}_{z_1,z_2,z_3}[f_i(z_1),[f_i(z_2),[f_i(z_3),f_{i+1}(w)]_{q^2}]]_{q^{-2}}=0.$$

- *Case* $n=1$: we impose

$$\operatorname*{Sym}_{z_1,z_2,z_3}\frac{z_2}{z_3}[e_0(z_1),[e_0(z_2),e_0(z_3)]]=0,\ \operatorname*{Sym}_{z_1,z_2,z_3}\frac{z_2}{z_3}[f_0(z_1),[f_0(z_2),f_0(z_3)]]=0.$$

Remark 1.1. *For any* $n>1$ *and* $\omega_n=\sqrt[n]{1}\in\mathbb{C}^\times$*, there is an isomorphism of algebras* $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{\omega_n\cdot q_1,q_2,\omega_n^{-1}\cdot q_3}$ *given by* $e_i(z)\mapsto e_i(\omega_n^{-i}z)$, $f_i(z)\mapsto f_i(\omega_n^{-i}z)$, $\psi_i^\pm(z)\mapsto\psi_i^\pm(\omega_n^{-i}z)$.

It is convenient to use the generators $\{h_{i,l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$ instead of $\{\psi_{i,l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}$ defined by

$$\exp\left(\pm(q-q^{-1})\sum_{r>0}h_{i,\pm r}z^{\mp r}\right)=\bar\psi_i^\pm(z):=\psi_{i,0}^{\mp1}\psi_i^\pm(z),\quad h_{i,\pm r}\in\mathbb{C}[\psi_{i,0}^{\mp1},\psi_{i,\pm1},\psi_{i,\pm2},\ldots].$$

Then the relations (T4,T5) are equivalent to the following (we use $[m]_q:=(q^m-q^{-m})/(q-q^{-1})$):

$$\psi_{i,0}e_{j,l}=q^{a_{i,j}}e_{j,l}\psi_{i,0},\ [h_{i,k},e_{j,l}]=b_n(i,j;k)\cdot e_{j,l+k}\ (k\neq0),\tag{T4$'$}$$

$$\psi_{i,0}f_{j,l}=q^{-a_{i,j}}f_{j,l}\psi_{i,0},\ [h_{i,k},f_{j,l}]=-b_n(i,j;k)\cdot f_{j,l+k}\ (k\neq0),\tag{T5$'$}$$

with constants $b_n(i,j;k)$ given explicitly by:

$$b_n(i,j;k)=\begin{cases}\frac{[ka_{i,j}]_q}{k}\cdot d^{-km_{i,j}} & \text{if } n>2\\ \frac{[2k]_q}{k} & \text{if } i=j \text{ and } n=2\\ -\frac{[k]_q}{k}\cdot(d^k+d^{-k}) & \text{if } i\neq j \text{ and } n=2\\ \frac{[k]_q}{k}\cdot(q^k+q^{-k}-d^k-d^{-k}) & \text{if } n=1\end{cases}.\tag{♯}$$

We equip the algebra $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ with the *principal* $\mathbb{Z}$-grading by assigning

$$\deg(e_{i,k})=1,\ \deg(f_{i,k})=-1,\ \deg(\psi_{i,k})=0.$$

Following [DI], we also equip $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ with a formal coproduct by assigning

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(e_i(z))&=e_i(z)\otimes1+\psi_i^-(z)\otimes e_i(z),\\ \Delta(f_i(z))&=f_i(z)\otimes\psi_i^+(z)+1\otimes f_i(z),\\ \Delta(\psi_i^\pm(z))&=\psi_i^\pm(z)\otimes\psi_i^\pm(z).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

1.2. **Affine Yangians of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ $(n\geq2)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_1$.**

The affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ $(n\geq2)$, denoted by $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\bar\lambda,\bar\beta}$ $(\bar\lambda,\bar\beta\in\mathbb{C})$, was first introduced in [G]. For $n=2$, we will need a slight upgrade of this construction. Finally, the affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ has recently appeared in the works of Maulik-Okounkov [MO] and Schiffmann-Vasserot [SV2]. However, in the current paper, we will need the *loop presentation* of this algebra from [T].

To make our exposition shorter, we call such algebras the *affine Yangians of* $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and denote them by $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$, where $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $h_1=\beta-h, h_2=2h, h_3=-\beta-h$ $(\beta,h\in\mathbb{C})$, so that $\sum h_i=0$. The algebra $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ is an associative unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $\{x_{i,r}^\pm,\xi_{i,r}\}_{i\in[n]}^{r\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ (here $\mathbb{Z}_+:=\{s\in\mathbb{Z}|s\geq0\}=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$) with the defining relations (Y0–Y5) to be given below.

The first two relations are independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$[\xi_{i,r}, \xi_{j,s}] = 0, \tag{Y0}$$

$$[x^+_{i,r}, x^-_{j,s}] = \delta_{i,j} \cdot \xi_{i,r+s}. \tag{Y1}$$

Set $\{a, b\} := ab + ba$. Let us now specify (Y2–Y5) in each of the cases: $n > 2, n = 2, n = 1$.

• *Case* $n > 2$: we impose

$$[x^\pm_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{j,s}] - [x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s+1}] = -m_{i,j}\beta[x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s}] \pm a_{i,j}h\{x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s}\}, \tag{Y2}$$

$$[\xi_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{j,s}] - [\xi_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s+1}] = -m_{i,j}\beta[\xi_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s}] \pm a_{i,j}h\{\xi_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s}\}, \tag{Y3}$$

$$[\xi_{i,0}, x^\pm_{j,s}] = \pm a_{i,j}x^\pm_{j,s}, \tag{Y4}$$

$$\operatorname*{Sym}_{r_1,r_2} [x^\pm_{i,r_1}, [x^\pm_{i,r_2}, x^\pm_{i\pm1,s}]] = 0 \ \text{ and } \ [x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s}] = 0 \text{ if } a_{i,j} = 0. \tag{Y5}$$

• *Case* $n = 2$: we impose

$$[x^\pm_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{i,s}] - [x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{i,s+1}] = \pm h_2\{x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{i,s}\}, \tag{Y2.1}$$

$$[x^\pm_{i,r+2}, x^\pm_{j,s}] - 2[x^\pm_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{j,s+1}] + [x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s+2}] = - h_1h_3[x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s}] \mp h_2(\{x^\pm_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{j,s}\} - \{x^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s+1}\}) \text{ for } j \neq i, \tag{Y2.2}$$

$$[\xi_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{i,s}] - [\xi^\pm_{i,r}, x^\pm_{i,s+1}] = \pm h_2\{\xi_{i,r}, x^\pm_{i,s}\}, \tag{Y3.1}$$

$$[\xi_{i,r+2}, x^\pm_{j,s}] - 2[\xi_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{j,s+1}] + [\xi_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s+2}] = - h_1h_3[\xi_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s}] \mp h_2(\{\xi_{i,r+1}, x^\pm_{j,s}\} - \{\xi_{i,r}, x^\pm_{j,s+1}\}) \text{ for } j \neq i, \tag{Y3.2}$$

$$[\xi_{i,0}, x^\pm_{j,s}] = \pm a_{i,j}x^\pm_{j,s}, \quad [\xi_{i,1}, x^\pm_{i+1,s}] = \mp(2x^\pm_{i+1,s+1} + h_2\{\xi_{i,0}, x^\pm_{i+1,s}\}), \tag{Y4}$$

$$\operatorname*{Sym}_{r_1,r_2,r_3} [x^\pm_{i,r_1}, [x^\pm_{i,r_2}, [x^\pm_{i,r_3}, x^\pm_{i+1,s}]]] = 0. \tag{Y5}$$

• *Case* $n = 1$: we impose

$$[x^\pm_{0,r+3}, x^\pm_{0,s}] - 3[x^\pm_{0,r+2}, x^\pm_{0,s+1}] + 3[x^\pm_{0,r+1}, x^\pm_{0,s+2}] - [x^\pm_{0,r}, x^\pm_{0,s+3}] = - \sigma_2([x^\pm_{0,r+1}, x^\pm_{0,s}] - [x^\pm_{0,r}, x^\pm_{0,s+1}]) \pm \sigma_3\{x^\pm_{0,r}, x^\pm_{0,s}\}, \tag{Y2}$$

$$[\xi_{0,r+3}, x^\pm_{0,s}] - 3[\xi_{0,r+2}, x^\pm_{0,s+1}] + 3[\xi_{0,r+1}, x^\pm_{0,s+2}] - [\xi_{0,r}, x^\pm_{0,s+3}] = - \sigma_2([\xi_{0,r+1}, x^\pm_{0,s}] - [\xi_{0,r}, x^\pm_{0,s+1}]) \pm \sigma_3\{\xi_{0,r}, x^\pm_{0,s}\}, \tag{Y3}$$

$$[\xi_{0,0}, x^\pm_{0,s}] = 0, \quad [\xi_{0,1}, x^\pm_{0,s}] = 0, \quad [\xi_{0,2}, x^\pm_{0,s}] = \pm 2h_1h_3x^\pm_{0,s}, \tag{Y4}$$

$$\operatorname*{Sym}_{r_1,r_2,r_3} [x^\pm_{0,r_1}, [x^\pm_{0,r_2}, x^\pm_{0,r_3+1}]] = 0, \tag{Y5}$$

where $\sigma_1 := h_1 + h_2 + h_3 = 0$, $\sigma_2 := h_1h_2 + h_1h_3 + h_2h_3$, $\sigma_3 := h_1h_2h_3$.

Remark 1.2. *(i) For $n > 2$, our definition of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ reproduces the algebras introduced by Guay in* [G]. *To be more precise, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,-h_1-h_2} \simeq \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}_{h_2,\frac{1}{2}h_2-\frac{n}{4}(2h_1+h_2)}$.*
(ii) Our definition of $\mathcal{Y}^{(2)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ coincides with the corrected version of $Y_{-h_3,-h_1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ from [K].
(iii) Our definition of $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ first appeared in [T] *under the name of* affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}_1$.

1.3. **Affine Yangians as *additivizations* of quantum toroidal algebras.**

The algebras $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ can be considered as natural "additivizations" of the algebras $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ in the same way as $Y_h(\mathfrak{g})$ is an "additivization" of $U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$. We explain this by rewriting (Y0–Y5) in a form similar to the defining relations (T0–T6). We also introduce an algebra $D\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$.

Let us introduce the generating series:

$$x_i^{\pm}(z) := \sum_{r\geq 0} x_{i,r}^{\pm} z^{-r-1},\ \xi_i(z) := 1 + h_2 \sum_{r\geq 0} \xi_{i,r} z^{-r-1}.$$

We also define a collection of polynomials $\{p_{i,j}(z,w)\}_{i\in[n]}^{j\in[n]}$ as follows:

$$p_{i,j}(z,w) = \begin{cases} z-w+m_{i,j}\beta - a_{i,j}h & \text{if } n>2 \\ z-w-h_2 & \text{if } i=j \text{ and } n=2 \\ (-1)^{\delta_{j,1}}(z-w-h_1)(z-w-h_3) & \text{if } i\neq j \text{ and } n=2 \\ (z-w-h_1)(z-w-h_2)(z-w-h_3) & \text{if } n=1 \end{cases}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);-}, \mathcal{Y}^{(n);0}, \mathcal{Y}^{(n);+}$ be the subalgebras of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ generated by $\{x^-_{i,r}\}, \{\xi_{i,r}\}$ and $\{x^+_{i,r}\}$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);\leq 0}$ be the subalgebras of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ generated by $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);0}, \mathcal{Y}^{(n);+}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);0}, \mathcal{Y}^{(n);-}$, respectively. The following result is standard:

Proposition 1.3. *(a) The subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);0}$ is a polynomial algebra in the generators $\{\xi_{i,r}\}$.*
(b) The subalgebras $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);\pm}$ are generated by $\{x^{\pm}_{i,r}\}$ with the defining relations (Y2, Y5).
(c) The subalgebras $\mathcal{Y}^{(n);\gtrless 0}$ are generated by $\{\xi_{i,r}, x^{\pm}_{i,r}\}$ with the defining relations (Y0, Y2–Y5).

Consider the homomorphisms $\sigma_i^{\pm} : \mathcal{Y}^{(n);\gtrless 0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{(n);\gtrless 0}$ defined by $\xi_{j,k} \mapsto \xi_{j,k}, x^{\pm}_{j,k} \mapsto x^{\pm}_{j,k+\delta_{i,j}}$. These are well-defined due to Proposition 1.3. Let $\mu : \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ be the multiplication map. The following is straightforward:

Proposition 1.4. *(a) The relation (Y0) is equivalent to $[\xi_i(z), \xi_j(w)] = 0$.*
(b) The relation (Y1) is equivalent to

$$h_2 \cdot (w-z)[x_i^+(z), x_j^-(w)] = \delta_{i,j}(\xi_i(z) - \xi_i(w)).$$

(c) The relations (Y3)+(Y4) are equivalent to

$$p_{i,j}(z, \sigma_j^+)\xi_i(z)x^+_{j,s} = -p_{j,i}(\sigma_j^+, z)x^+_{j,s}\xi_i(z),\ p_{j,i}(\sigma_j^-, z)\xi_i(z)x^-_{j,s} = -p_{i,j}(z, \sigma_j^-)x^-_{j,s}\xi_i(z).$$

(d) The relation (Y2) is equivalent to

$$\partial_z^{\deg_{i,j;n}} \mu\left(p_{i,j}(z, \sigma_j^{+,(2)})x_i^+(z) \otimes x^+_{j,s} + p_{j,i}(\sigma_j^{+,(1)}, z)x^+_{j,s} \otimes x_i^+(z)\right) = 0,$$
$$\partial_z^{\deg_{i,j;n}} \mu\left(p_{j,i}(\sigma_j^{-,(2)}, z)x_i^-(z) \otimes x^-_{j,s} + p_{i,j}(z, \sigma_j^{-,(1)})x^-_{j,s} \otimes x_i^-(z)\right) = 0,$$

where we set $\deg_{i,j;n} := \deg(p_{i,j}(z,w)), \sigma_j^{\pm,(1)}(a\otimes b) := \sigma_j^{\pm}(a)\otimes b, \sigma_j^{\pm,(2)}(a\otimes b) := a\otimes\sigma_j^{\pm}(b)$.

Remark 1.5. *Let $D\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ be an associative unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $\{x^{\pm}_{i,k}, \xi_{i,k}\}_{i\in[n]}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with the defining relations (Y0–Y5). A similar construction for $Y_h(\mathfrak{g})$ was first introduced in* [D] *(see also* [KT]*). We equip $D\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ with a formal coproduct by assigning*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\widetilde{x}_i^+(z)) = \widetilde{x}_i^+(z)\otimes 1 + \widetilde{\xi}_i^-(z)\otimes\widetilde{x}_i^+(z),\ \Delta(\widetilde{x}_i^-(z)) = \widetilde{x}_i^-(z)\otimes\widetilde{\xi}_i^+(z) + 1\otimes\widetilde{x}_i^-(z), \\ \Delta(\widetilde{\xi}_i^{\pm}(z)) = \widetilde{\xi}_i^{\pm}(z)\otimes\widetilde{\xi}_i^{\pm}(z), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\widetilde{x}_i^{\pm}(z) := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} x^{\pm}_{i,k} z^{-k-1},\ \widetilde{\xi}_i^+(z) := 1 + \sum_{r\geq 0}\xi_{i,r}z^{-r-1},\ \widetilde{\xi}_i^-(z) := 1 - \sum_{s<0}\xi_{i,s}z^{-s-1}$.

1.4. **Fock representations.**

For $p \in [n]$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, let $F^p(u)$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space with the basis $\{|\lambda\rangle\}$ labeled by all partitions λ. Given $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\lambda \pm 1_s = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \pm 1, \lambda_{s+1}, \ldots)$ and define $c_s(\lambda) = p + s - \lambda_s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\psi(z) = (q - q^{-1}z)/(1 - z)$ and write $a \equiv b$ if $a - b$ is divisible by n. The following result is due to [FJMM1, Proposition 3.3] (see also [FJMM2, Section 2.5]).

Proposition 1.6. *(a) For $n > 1$, the following formulas define an action of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ on $F^p(u)$:*

$$\langle \lambda + 1_i | e_j(z) | \lambda \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j}^{s<i} \psi(q_1^{\lambda_s - \lambda_i - 1} q_3^{s-i}) \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j+1}^{s<i} \psi(q_1^{\lambda_i - \lambda_s} q_3^{i-s}) \cdot \delta(q_1^{\lambda_i} q_3^{i-1} u/z),$$

$$\langle \lambda | f_j(z) | \lambda + 1_i \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j}^{s>i} \psi(q_1^{\lambda_s - \lambda_i - 1} q_3^{s-i}) \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j+1}^{s>i} \psi(q_1^{\lambda_i - \lambda_s} q_3^{i-s}) \cdot \delta(q_1^{\lambda_i} q_3^{i-1} u/z),$$

$$\langle \lambda | \psi_j^\pm(z) | \lambda \rangle = \left(\prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \psi\left(\frac{q_1^{\lambda_s - 1} q_3^{s-1} u}{z} \right) \cdot \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j+1}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \psi\left(\frac{z}{q_1^{\lambda_s} q_3^{s-1} u} \right) \right)^\pm,$$

while all other matrix coefficients are set to be zero. Here $(\cdots)^\pm$ denotes decomposition in $z^{\mp 1}$. (b) For $n = 1$, same formulas with the matrix coefficient of $f_0(z)$ multiplied by $q(1-q_3)/(1-q_1^{-1})$ define an action of $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ on $F^0(u)$.

Remark 1.7. *(a) If $\lambda + 1_i$ (respectively $\lambda - 1_i$) is not a partition, then the above formulas automatically give $\langle \lambda + 1_i | e_j(z) | \lambda \rangle = 0 \ \forall \ j \in [n]$ (respectively $\langle \lambda - 1_i | f_j(z) | \lambda \rangle = 0 \ \forall \ j \in [n]$). (b) The above infinite products can be simplified to finite products, due to $\psi(1/z)\psi(q_2 z) = 1$. (c) The Fock representations $F^p(u)$ were originally constructed from the "vector representations" by using the semi-infinite wedge construction and the formal coproduct (1) on $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$.*

Let us analogously define *Fock representations* of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$. For $p \in [n]$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$, let ${}^a F^p(v)$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space with the basis $\{|\lambda\rangle\}$. We also set $\phi(z) = \frac{z - h_2}{z}$ and $\delta^+(z) = \sum_{s=0}^\infty z^s$.

Proposition 1.8. *(a) For $n > 1$, the following formulas define an action of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ on ${}^a F^p(v)$:*

$$\langle \lambda + 1_i | x_j^+(z) | \lambda \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j}^{s<i} \phi((\lambda_s - \lambda_i - 1)h_1 + (s-i)h_3) \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j+1}^{s<i} \phi((\lambda_i - \lambda_s)h_1 + (i-s)h_3)$$
$$\times \frac{1}{z} \delta^+((\lambda_i h_1 + (i-1)h_3 + v)/z),$$

$$\langle \lambda | x_j^-(z) | \lambda + 1_i \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j}^{s>i} \phi((\lambda_s - \lambda_i - 1)h_1 + (s-i)h_3) \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j+1}^{s>i} \phi((\lambda_i - \lambda_s)h_1 + (i-s)h_3)$$
$$\times \frac{1}{z} \delta^+((\lambda_i h_1 + (i-1)h_3 + v)/z),$$

$$\langle \lambda | \xi_j(z) | \lambda \rangle = \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \phi((\lambda_s - 1)h_1 + (s-1)h_3 + v - z) \cdot \prod_{c_s(\lambda) \equiv j+1}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \phi(z - (\lambda_s h_1 + (s-1)h_3 + v)),$$

while all other matrix coefficients are set to be zero.
(b) For $n = 1$, same formulas with the matrix coefficient of $x_0^-(z)$ multiplied by $-h_3/h_1$ define an action of $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ on ${}^a F^0(v)$ (see [T, Proposition 4.4]*).*

Remark 1.9. *For $v \notin \{-ah_1 - bh_3 | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, we get an action of $D\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ (from Remark 1.5) on ${}^a F^p(v)$ by changing $\delta^+((\lambda_i h_1 + (i-1)h_3 + v)/z) \rightsquigarrow \delta((\lambda_i h_1 + (i-1)h_3 + v)/z)$.*

1.5. **Tensor products of Fock representations.**

We will need not only Fock modules but also their tensor products. Given $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_r) \in [n]^r, \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_r) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$, consider the Fock modules $\{F^{p_i}(u_i)\}_{i=1}^r$. Using the formal coproduct (1) on the algebra $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$, one can define an action of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ on $F^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{u}) := F^{p_1}(u_1) \otimes \dots \otimes F^{p_r}(u_r)$, but only if $\{u_i\}$ are *not in resonance*, see [FJMM1]. This module has the basis $\{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle\}$ labeled by r-tuples of partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$. By $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + 1^{(a)}_s$ we denote $(\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)} + 1_s, \lambda^{(a+1)}, \dots)$. For $1 \leq a, l \leq r$ and $s, i \in \mathbb{N}$, we say $(a, s) \prec (l, i)$ (or $(l, i) \succ (a, s)$) if either $a < l$ or $a = l, s < i$. We also set $\chi^{(a)}_s := q_1^{\lambda^{(a)}_s} q_3^{s-1} u_a$.

Proposition 1.10. *(a) For $n > 1$, the following formulas define an action of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ on $F^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{u})$:*

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} + 1^{(l)}_i | e_j(z) | \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda^{(l)}) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j}^{(a,s) \prec (l,i)} \psi\left(\frac{\chi^{(a)}_s}{q_1 \chi^{(l)}_i}\right) \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j+1}^{(a,s) \prec (l,i)} \psi\left(\frac{\chi^{(l)}_i}{\chi^{(a)}_s}\right) \cdot \delta\left(\frac{\chi^{(l)}_i}{z}\right),$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} | f_j(z) | \boldsymbol{\lambda} + 1^{(l)}_i \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda^{(l)}) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j}^{(a,s) \succ (l,i)} \psi\left(\frac{\chi^{(a)}_s}{q_1 \chi^{(l)}_i}\right) \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j+1}^{(a,s) \succ (l,i)} \psi\left(\frac{\chi^{(l)}_i}{\chi^{(a)}_s}\right) \cdot \delta\left(\frac{\chi^{(l)}_i}{z}\right),$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} | \psi^{\pm}_j(z) | \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle = \left(\prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \psi\left(\frac{\chi^{(a)}_s}{q_1 z}\right) \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j+1}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \psi\left(\frac{z}{\chi^{(a)}_s}\right) \right)^{\pm},$$

while all other matrix coefficients are set to be zero.
(b) For $n = 1$, same formulas with the matrix coefficient of $f_0(z)$ multiplied by $q(1-q_3)/(1-q_1^{-1})$ define an action of $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$ on $F^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{u})$.

Remark 1.11. *Actually, the parameters $\{u_i\}$ are* not in resonance *exactly when the first two formulas are well-defined (do not have zeroes in denominators) for any two partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\lambda} + 1^{(l)}_i$.*

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{p} \in [n]^r, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and assume that $\{v_i\}$ are *not in resonance*. Considering the "additive version" of the above proposition, we get an action of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ on the vector space ${}^a F^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})$ with the basis $\{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle\}$ labeled by r-tuples of partitions. Set $x^{(a)}_s := \lambda^{(a)}_s h_1 + (s-1)h_3 + v_a$.

Proposition 1.12. *(a) For $n > 1$, the following formulas define an action of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ on ${}^a F^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})$:*

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} + 1^{(l)}_i | x^+_j(z) | \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda^{(l)}) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j}^{(a,s) \prec (l,i)} \frac{x^{(a)}_s - x^{(l)}_i + h_3}{x^{(a)}_s - x^{(l)}_i - h_1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j+1}^{(a,s) \prec (l,i)} \frac{x^{(l)}_i - x^{(a)}_s - h_2}{x^{(l)}_i - x^{(a)}_s} \cdot \frac{\delta^+(\frac{x^{(l)}_i}{z})}{z},$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} | x^-_j(z) | \boldsymbol{\lambda} + 1^{(l)}_i \rangle = \delta_{c_i(\lambda^{(l)}) \equiv j+1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j}^{(a,s) \succ (l,i)} \frac{x^{(a)}_s - x^{(l)}_i + h_3}{x^{(a)}_s - x^{(l)}_i - h_1} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j+1}^{(a,s) \succ (l,i)} \frac{x^{(l)}_i - x^{(a)}_s - h_2}{x^{(l)}_i - x^{(a)}_s} \cdot \frac{\delta^+(\frac{x^{(l)}_i}{z})}{z},$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\lambda} | \xi_j(z) | \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle = \left(\prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{x^{(a)}_s - z + h_3}{x^{(a)}_s - z - h_1} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)}) \equiv j+1}^{s \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{z - x^{(a)}_s - h_2}{z - x^{(a)}_s} \right)^{+},$$

while all other matrix coefficients are set to be zero.
(b) For $n = 1$, same formulas with the matrix coefficient of $x^-_0(z)$ multiplied by $-h_3/h_1$ define an action of $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ on ${}^a F^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{v})$.

Remark 1.13. *This result can be checked directly ($n = 1$ case was treated in* [T, Section 4.4]*). A more conceptual proof identifies ${}^a F^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})$ with the tensor product ${}^a F^{p_1}(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes {}^a F^{p_r}(v_r)$, where ${}^a F^{p_i}(v_i)$ are viewed as modules over $D\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$ following Remark 1.9.*

2. Limit algebras

In this section, we introduce the formal versions of our two algebras of interest and relate their *classical limits* to the well-known algebras of difference and differential operators on $\mathbb{C}^\times$. We use the notation $'$ when working in the formal setting, i.e. over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ or $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]$, where $\hbar,\hbar_i$–formal variables. Our notation differ from that in [T], where we treated the $n=1$ case.

2.1. Algebras $\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_q^{(n),'}$.

For any $q\in\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]^\times$, we define the algebra of *q-difference operators on* $\mathbb{C}^\times$, denoted by $\mathfrak{d}_q^{'}$, to be an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ topologically generated by $Z^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}$ subject to:

$$Z\cdot Z^{-1}=Z^{-1}\cdot Z=1,\ D\cdot D^{-1}=D^{-1}\cdot D=1,\ D\cdot Z=qZ\cdot D.$$

Define the associative algebra $\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}=\mathbb{M}_n\otimes\mathfrak{d}_q^{'}$, where $\mathbb{M}_n$ stands for the algebra of $n\times n$ matrices (so that $\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}$ is the algebra of $n\times n$ matrices with values in $\mathfrak{d}_q^{'}$). We will view $\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}$ as a Lie algebra with the natural commutator-Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$. It is easy to check that the following formula defines a 2-cocycle $\phi_{\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}}\in C^2(\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'},\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])$:

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}}(M_1\otimes D^kZ^l,M_2\otimes D^{k'}Z^{-l'})=\begin{cases}\mathrm{tr}(M_1M_2)\cdot q^{-lk'}\frac{1-q^{l(k+k')}}{1-q^{k+k'}} & \text{if } l=l'\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

for any $M_1,M_2\in\mathbb{M}_n$ and $k,k',l,l'\in\mathbb{Z}$. Here $\frac{1-q^{l(k+k')}}{1-q^{k+k'}}$ is understood in the sense of evaluating $\frac{1-x^l}{1-x}\in\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}]$ at $x=q^{k+k'}$. In particular, $\frac{1-q^{l(k+k')}}{1-q^{k+k'}}\in\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and $\frac{1-q^{l(k+k')}}{1-q^{k+k'}}=l$ if $k+k'=0$.

This endows $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_q^{(n),'}=\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}\oplus\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]\cdot c_{\mathfrak{d}}$ with the Lie algebra structure.

2.2. Algebras $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}$.

Define the algebra of *ħ-differential operators on* $\mathbb{C}^\times$, denoted by $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{'}$, to be an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ topologically generated by $\partial, x^{\pm 1}$ subject to the following defining relations:

$$x\cdot x^{-1}=x^{-1}\cdot x=1,\ \partial\cdot x=x\cdot(\partial+\hbar).$$

Define the associative algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}=\mathbb{M}_n\otimes\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{'}$ (so that $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}$ is the algebra of $n\times n$ matrices with values in $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{'}$). We will view $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}$ as a Lie algebra with the natural commutator-Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$. Following [BKLY, Formula (2.3)], consider a 2-cocycle $\phi_{\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}}\in C^2(\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'},\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])$:

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}}(M_1\otimes f_1(\partial)x^l,M_2\otimes f_2(\partial)x^{-l'})=\begin{cases}\mathrm{tr}(M_1M_2)\cdot\sum_{a=0}^{l-1}f_1(a\hbar)f_2((a-l)\hbar) & \text{if } l=l'>0\\ -\mathrm{tr}(M_1M_2)\cdot\sum_{a=0}^{-l-1}f_2(a\hbar)f_1((a+l)\hbar) & \text{if } l=l'<0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

for arbitrary polynomials f_1,f_2 and any $M_1,M_2\in\mathbb{M}_n,\ l,l'\in\mathbb{Z}$.

This endows $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}=\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}\oplus\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]\cdot c_{\mathfrak{D}}$ with the Lie algebra structure.

2.3. Homomorphism $\bar{\Upsilon}_{m,n}^{\omega_n}$.

In this section, we assume that $q-\omega_N\in\hbar\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]^\times$ for a certain order N root of unity $\omega_N=\sqrt[N]{1}\in\mathbb{C}^\times$. Let us consider the completions of $\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'},\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_q^{(n),'}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'},\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}$ with respect to the ideals $J_{\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}}=\mathbb{M}_n\otimes(D^N-1,q-\omega_N)$ and $J_{\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}}=\mathbb{M}_n\otimes(\partial,\hbar)$:

- $\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}_q^{(n),'}:=\varprojlim\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}/\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}\cdot(D^N-1,q-\omega_N)^r$ and $\widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{d}}}_q^{(n),'}:=\varprojlim\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_q^{(n),'}/\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_q^{(n),'}\cdot(D^N-1,q-\omega_N)^r$.
- $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}:=\varprojlim\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}/\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}\cdot(\partial,\hbar)^r$ and $\widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{D}}}_\hbar^{(n),'}:=\varprojlim\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}/\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}\cdot(\partial,\hbar)^r$.

Remark 2.1. *(i) Taking completions of $\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}$ with respect to the ideals $J_{\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}}$ and $J_{\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}}$ commutes with taking central extensions with respect to the 2-cocycles $\phi_{\mathfrak{d}_q^{(n),'}}$ and $\phi_{\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}}$.*
(ii) Specializing $\hbar$ or q to complex parameters $h_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ or $q_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we get the matrix algebras $\mathfrak{d}_{q_0}^{(n)}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{h_0}^{(n)}$ with values in the classical $\mathbb{C}$-algebras of difference/differential operators on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ as well as their one-dimensional central extensions. In other words, we consider the $\mathbb{C}$-algebras given by the same collections of the generators and the defining relations. However, one can not define their completions as above. This explains why we choose to work in the formal setting.

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we identify $\mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \simeq \mathbb{M}_{mn}$ via $E_{i,j} \otimes E_{k,l} \mapsto E_{m(k-1)+i,m(l-1)+j}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ 1 \leq k, l \leq n$. Our next result relates those different families of completions.

Theorem 2.2. *(a) For any n and $q = \omega_n \exp(\hbar)$, there exists a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra homomorphism*

$$\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n} : \widehat{\mathfrak{d}}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(1),'} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}$$

such that

$$D \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} q^{n-1}e^{n\partial} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{n-2}e^{n\partial} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{n-3}e^{n\partial} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & qe^{n\partial} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{n\partial} \end{pmatrix}, Z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ x & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) Combining the homomorphism $\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n}$ from part (a) with the identification $\mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{M}_n \simeq \mathbb{M}_{mn}$ from above, we get a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra homomorphism $\Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n} : \widehat{\mathfrak{d}}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(m),'} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(mn),'}$ for any m, n.
(c) There exists a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebras

$$\bar{\Upsilon}_{m,n}^{\omega_n} : \widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{d}}}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(m),'} \longrightarrow \widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{D}}}_\hbar^{(mn),'}$$

such that $\bar{\Upsilon}_{m,n}^{\omega_n}(c_{\mathfrak{d}}) = c_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\bar{\Upsilon}_{m,n}^{\omega_n}(A) = \Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n}(A)$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{d}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(m),'}$.
(d) If ω_n is a primitive nth root of unity, then $\Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n}$ and $\bar{\Upsilon}_{m,n}^{\omega_n}$ are isomorphisms.

Proof.

(a) Let us denote the above $n \times n$ matrices by X and Y. These two matrices are invertible and satisfy the identity $XY = qYX$ (which follows from $e^{n\partial}xe^{-n\partial} = e^{n\hbar}x = q^n x$). Hence, there exists a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra homomorphism $\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n} : \mathfrak{d}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(1),'} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}$ such that $\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n}(D) = X$ and $\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n}(Z) = Y$. Since $q - \omega_n \in \hbar\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and $\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n}(D^n - 1) \in \widehat{J}_{\mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(n),'}}$, the above homomorphism induces a homomorphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{d}}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(1),'} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(n),'}$ which we denote by the same symbol $\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n}$.

(b) Follows immediately from (a).

(c) It suffices to check that

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{d}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(m),'}}(M_1 \otimes D^k Z^l, M_2 \otimes D^{k'} Z^{l'}) = \phi_{\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\hbar^{(mn),'}}(\Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n}(M_1 \otimes D^k Z^l), \Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n}(M_2 \otimes D^{k'} Z^{l'}))$$

for any $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{M}_m$ and $k, k', l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is a straightforward verification.

(d) Assume ω_n is a primitive nth root of unity. Let us now prove that $\Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n}$ is an isomorphism. It suffices to show that the linear map

$$\Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n;r} : \mathfrak{d}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}^{(m),'} / (D^n - 1, \hbar)^r \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}_\hbar^{(mn),'} / (\partial, \hbar)^r,$$

induced by $\Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n}$, is an isomorphism for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. This condition follows from $\Upsilon_{1,n}^{\omega_n;r}$ being an isomorphism, due to our definition of $\Upsilon_{m,n}^{\omega_n}$.

For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to see that:

$\circ$ $\{\hbar^{s_1} D^{s_2} Z^k | k \in \mathbb{Z}, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, ns_1 + s_2 < nr\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathfrak{d}^{(1),'}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}/(D^n - 1, \hbar)^r$,

$\circ$ $\{E_{a,b} \otimes \hbar^{s_1} \partial^{s_2} x^k | 1 \leq a, b \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, s_1 + s_2 < r\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathfrak{D}^{(n),'}_{\hbar}/(\partial, \hbar)^r$,

$\circ$ the linear map $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r}_{1,n}$ induces a linear map $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n} : V_{r,0} \longrightarrow W_{r,0}$, where

$$V_{r,0} := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\hbar^{s_1} D^{s_2} | s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, ns_1 + s_2 < nr\} - \text{subspace of } \mathfrak{d}^{(1),'}_{\omega_n \exp(\hbar)}/(D^n - 1, \hbar)^r,$$

$$W_{r,0} := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{a,a} \otimes \hbar^{s_1} \partial^{s_2} | 1 \leq a \leq n, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, s_1 + s_2 < r\} - \text{subspace of } \mathfrak{D}^{(n),'}_{\hbar}/(\partial, \hbar)^r.$$

Explicit formulas for powers of the matrix Y imply that $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r}_{1,n}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n}$ is an isomorphism. The latter is equivalent to $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n}$ being surjective as

$$\dim(V_{r,0}) = \frac{nr(r+1)}{2} = \dim(W_{r,0}).$$

For any $0 \leq s \leq r-1$, the restriction of $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n}$ to $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\hbar^s \cdot (D^n - 1)^{r-s-1} \cdot D^i | 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ maps it isomorphically onto $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{j,j} \otimes \hbar^s (n\partial + (n-j)\hbar)^{r-s-1} | 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. It is here that we use the fact that ω_n is a primitive nth root of unity. Therefore:

$$\{E_{j,j} \otimes \hbar^s \partial^{r-s-1} | 1 \leq j \leq n, 0 \leq s \leq r-1\} \subset \mathrm{Im}(\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n}).$$

Considering now the restriction of $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n}$ to $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\hbar^s \cdot (D^n - 1)^{r-s-2} \cdot D^i\}^{0 \leq i \leq n-1}_{0 \leq s \leq r-2}$ and combining this with the aforementioned inclusion, we get

$$\{E_{j,j} \otimes \hbar^s \partial^{r-s-2} | 1 \leq j \leq n, 0 \leq s \leq r-2\} \subset \mathrm{Im}(\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n}).$$

Proceeding further by induction, we see that $\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n}$ is surjective. Therefore:

$$\Upsilon^{\omega_n;r,0}_{1,n} - \text{isomorphism} \Rightarrow \Upsilon^{\omega_n;r}_{1,n} - \text{isomorphism} \Rightarrow \Upsilon^{\omega_n;r}_{m,n} - \text{isomorphism} \Rightarrow \Upsilon^{\omega_n}_{m,n} - \text{isomorphism}.$$

Combining this with part (c), we also see that $\bar{\Upsilon}^{\omega_n}_{m,n}$ is a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-algebra isomorphism. □

2.4. **Algebras $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1}$.**

Throughout this section, we let $\hbar_1, \hbar_2$ be formal variables and set $\hbar_3 := -\hbar_1 - \hbar_2$. We also fix any root of unity $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We would like to consider the *formal version* of the quantum toroidal algebra $\mathcal{U}^{(m)}_{\mathrm{q}_1,\mathrm{q}_2,\mathrm{q}_3}$ with $\mathrm{q}_1 = \omega e^{h_1/m}, \mathrm{q}_2 = e^{h_2/m}, \mathrm{q}_3 = \omega^{-1} e^{-(h_1+h_2)/m}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{q}_1 = \omega \exp(\hbar_1/m), \mathfrak{q}_2 = \exp(\hbar_2/m), \mathfrak{q}_3 = \omega^{-1} \exp(\hbar_3/m) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]^\times.$$

Note that replacing q_i with $\mathfrak{q}_i$, the relations (T0, T2–T6) are defined over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]$, while (T1) is not well-defined as we have $\mathfrak{q} - \mathfrak{q}^{-1}$ in denominator. To fix this, we modify the relation (T1) in an appropriate way. We present $\psi^{\pm 1}_{i,0}$ in the form $\psi^{\pm 1}_{i,0} = \exp\left(\pm \frac{\hbar_2}{2m} \cdot h_{i,0}\right)$, so that

$$\psi^{\pm}_i(z) = \exp\left(\pm \frac{\hbar_2}{2m} \cdot h_{i,0}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\pm(\mathfrak{q} - \mathfrak{q}^{-1}) \sum_{r>0} h_{i,\pm r} z^{\mp r}\right) \text{ with } \mathfrak{q} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_2} = \exp\left(\frac{\hbar_2}{2m}\right).$$

Switching from $\{\psi_{i,k}, \psi^{-1}_{i,0}\}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}}_{i \in [m]}$ to $\{h_{i,k}\}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}}_{i \in [m]}$, the relations (T4,T5) get modified to

$$\text{(H)} \qquad [h_{i,k}, e_{j,l}] = b_m(i,j;k) \cdot e_{j,l+k}, \ [h_{i,k}, f_{j,l}] = -b_m(i,j;k) \cdot f_{j,l+k}, \ \forall\ i,j \in [m], \ k,l \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $b_m(i,j;0) = a_{i,j}$, while $b_m(i,j;k)$ is given by the formula (♯) from Section 1.1 for $k \neq 0$. These relations are well-defined in the formal setting since $[k]_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]$. Note also that the right-hand side of (T1) is a series in $z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]][\{h_{i,k}\}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}}_{i \in [m]}]$.

Definition 2.3. *$\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ is an associative unital $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]$-algebra topologically generated by $\{e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}, h_{i,k}\}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}}_{i \in [m]}$ with the defining relations (T0,T1,T2,T3,H,T6) (where $q_i \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q}_i, n \rightsquigarrow m$).*

Finally, we define $\mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1}^{(m),\omega,'}$ by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1}^{(m),\omega,'} := \mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega,'}/(\hbar_2).$$

It is an associative unital $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]$-algebra topologically generated by $\{e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}, h_{i,k}\}_{i\in[m]}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ subject to the relations (T2,T3,T6) (where $q_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q}_1, q_2 \rightsquigarrow 1, q_3 \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q}_1^{-1}, q \rightsquigarrow 1, d \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q}_1, n \rightsquigarrow m$) and

$$[h_{i,k}, h_{j,l}] = 0, \tag{T0L}$$

$$[e_{i,k}, f_{j,l}] = \delta_{i,j} \cdot h_{i,l+k}, \tag{T1L}$$

$$[h_{i,k}, e_{j,l}] = b'_m(i,j;k) \cdot e_{j,l+k}, \tag{T4L}$$

$$[h_{i,k}, f_{j,l}] = -b'_m(i,j;k) \cdot f_{j,l+k}, \tag{T5L}$$

for all $i, j \in [m], k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $b'_m(i,j;k) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]$ is the image of $b_m(i,j;k) \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]$, i.e.,

$$b'_m(i,j;k) = \begin{cases} a_{i,j} \cdot \mathfrak{q}_1^{-km_{i,j}} & \text{if } m > 2 \\ 2\delta_{i,j} - (\mathfrak{q}_1^k + \mathfrak{q}_1^{-k})\delta_{i+1,j} & \text{if } m = 2 \\ 2 - \mathfrak{q}_1^k - \mathfrak{q}_1^{-k} & \text{if } m = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Remark 2.4. *For $h_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, set $\mathrm{q}_1 = \omega \cdot e^{h_1/m} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and define $\mathcal{U}_{h_1}^{(m),\omega}$ as the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $\{e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}, h_{i,k}\}_{i\in[m]}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with the same defining relations (T0L, T1L, T2, T3, T4L, T5L, T6).*

The following result is straightforward:

Proposition 2.5. *There exists a homomorphism $\theta^{(m)} : \mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1}^{(m),\omega,'} \longrightarrow U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),'})$ such that*

$$\theta^{(m)}(e_{0,k}) = E_{m,1} \otimes D^k Z \text{ and } \theta^{(m)}(e_{i,k}) = E_{i,i+1} \otimes (\mathfrak{q}_1^{m-i} D)^k \text{ for } i \neq 0,$$
$$\theta^{(m)}(f_{0,k}) = E_{1,m} \otimes Z^{-1} D^k \text{ and } \theta^{(m)}(f_{i,k}) = E_{i+1,i} \otimes (\mathfrak{q}_1^{m-i} D)^k \text{ for } i \neq 0,$$
$$\theta^{(m)}(h_{0,k}) = E_{m,m} \otimes D^k - E_{1,1} \otimes (\mathfrak{q}_1^m D)^k + c_{\mathfrak{d}},$$
$$\theta^{(m)}(h_{i,k}) = (E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}) \otimes (\mathfrak{q}_1^{m-i} D)^k \text{ for } i \neq 0.$$

Define a free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]$-submodule $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0,'} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),'}$ as follows:

∘ For $m \geq 2$, $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0,'}$ is spanned by

$$\{c_{\mathfrak{d}}, A_{k,l} \otimes D^k Z^l | k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, A_{k,l} \in \mathbb{M}_m \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]] \text{ such that } \mathrm{tr}(A_{k,l}) \in \hbar_1 \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]], \mathrm{tr}(A_{0,0}) = 0\}.$$

∘ For $m = 1$, $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0,'}$ is spanned by $\{c_{\mathfrak{d}}, \hbar_1 D^{\pm s}, \hbar_1^{s-1} D^k Z^{\pm s} | k \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Lemma 2.6. *$\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0,'}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),'}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\theta^{(m)}) \subset U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0,'})$.*

In fact, we have the following result:

Theorem 2.7. *The homomorphism $\theta^{(m)}$ provides an isomorphism $\mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1}^{(m),\omega,'} \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0,'})$.*

Since all the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1}^{(m),\omega,'}$ are of Lie-type, it is an enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra generated by $e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}, h_{i,k}$ with the aforementioned defining relations. Thus, Theorem 2.7 provides a presentation of the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0,'}$ by generators and relations.

Actually, a more general result holds:

Theorem 2.8. *If $h_1 \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{\mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi\sqrt{-1}\}$, then $\theta^{(m)}$ induces an isomorphism of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebras: $\mathcal{U}_{h_1}^{(m),\omega} \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0})$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),0} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m)}$ is a Lie subalgebra spanned by $\{c_{\mathfrak{d}}\} \cup \{A_{k,l} \otimes D^k Z^l | k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, A_{k,l} \in \mathbb{M}_m, \mathrm{tr}(A_{0,0}) = 0\}$.*

2.5. **Algebras $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$.**

Analogously to the previous section, we let $\hbar_1, \hbar_2$ be formal variables and set $\hbar_3 := -\hbar_1 - \hbar_2$.

Definition 2.9. *The algebra $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ is an associative unital $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]$-algebra topologically generated by $\{x^{\pm}_{i,r}, \xi_{i,r}\}^{r\in\mathbb{Z}_+}_{i\in[n]}$ subject to the defining relations (Y0–Y5) with $h_i = \hbar_i/n$.*

We equip the algebra $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ with the $\mathbb{Z}_+$-grading via $\deg(x^{\pm}_{i,r}) = \deg(\xi_{i,r}) = r,\ \deg(\hbar_s) = 1$. We define $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$ (a formal version of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1} := \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1/n,0,-h_1/n}$ with $h_1 \in \mathbb{C}$) by

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1} := \mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}/(\hbar_2).$$

It is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]$. The following result is straightforward:

Proposition 2.10. *There exists a homomorphism $\vartheta^{(n)} : \mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1} \longrightarrow U(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1})$ such that*

$$\vartheta^{(n)}(x^+_{0,r}) = E_{n,1} \otimes \partial^r x \text{ and } \vartheta^{(n)}(x^+_{i,r}) = E_{i,i+1} \otimes (\partial + (1-i/n)\hbar_1)^r \text{ for } i \neq 0,$$
$$\vartheta^{(n)}(x^-_{0,r}) = E_{1,n} \otimes x^{-1}\partial^r \text{ and } \vartheta^{(n)}(x^-_{i,r}) = E_{i+1,i} \otimes (\partial + (1-i/n)\hbar_1)^r \text{ for } i \neq 0,$$
$$\vartheta^{(n)}(\xi_{0,r}) = E_{n,n} \otimes \partial^r - E_{1,1} \otimes (\partial + \hbar_1)^r + \delta_{0,r} c_{\mathfrak{D}},$$
$$\vartheta^{(n)}(\xi_{i,r}) = (E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1}) \otimes (\partial + (1-i/n)\hbar_1)^r \text{ for } i \neq 0.$$

Define a free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]$-submodule $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$ as follows:

∘ For $n \geq 2$, $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1}$ is spanned by

$$\{c_{\mathfrak{D}}, A_{r,l} \otimes \partial^r x^l | r \in \mathbb{Z}_+, l \in \mathbb{Z}, A_{r,l} \in \mathbb{M}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]] \text{ such that } \mathrm{tr}(A_{r,l}) \in \hbar_1\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]\}.$$

∘ For $n = 1$, $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1}$ is spanned by $\{c_{\mathfrak{D}}, \hbar_1 \cdot \partial^r, \hbar_1^{s-1} \cdot \partial^r x^{\pm s} | r \in \mathbb{Z}_+, s \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Lemma 2.11. *$\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\vartheta^{(n)}) \subset U(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1})$.*

In fact, we have the following result:

Theorem 2.12. *The homomorphism $\vartheta^{(n)}$ provides an isomorphism $\vartheta^{(n)} : \mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1})$.*

Note that all the defining relations of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$ are of Lie-type. Hence, $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$ is an enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra generated by $x^{\pm}_{i,r}, \xi_{i,r}$ with the aforementioned defining relations. Thus, Theorem 2.12 provides a presentation of the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1}$ by generators and relations.

Actually, a more general result holds:

Theorem 2.13. *For $h_1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\vartheta^{(n)}$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras $\vartheta^{(n)} : \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n)}_{h_1})$.*

2.6. **Flatness and faithfulness.**

The main result of this section is

Theorem 2.14. *(a) The algebra $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ is a flat $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_2]]$-deformation of $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1}$.*
(b) The algebra $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ is a flat $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_2]]$-deformation of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1}$.

Proof.

To prove Theorem 2.14, it suffices to provide a faithful $U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}^{(m),0,'}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m})$-representation (respectively $U(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(n),0,'}_{\hbar_1})$-representation) which admits a flat deformation to a representation of $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ (respectively $\mathcal{Y}^{(n),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$). To make use of the representations from Sections 1.4, 1.5, we will need to work not over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]$, but rather over the ring R, defined as a localization of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]$

by the multiplicative set $\{(\hbar_1-\nu_1\hbar_2)\cdots(\hbar_1-\nu_s\hbar_2)\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}}^{\nu_j\in\mathbb{C}}$. Note that $\bar{R}:=R/(\hbar_2)\simeq\mathbb{C}((\hbar_1))$. We define

$$\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega,'}:=\mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega,'}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]}R,\quad \mathcal{Y}_R^{(n),'}:=\mathcal{Y}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(n),'}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]}R.$$

Consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{'}=\{\sum_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}}a_{k,l}E_{k,l}|a_{k,l}\in\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]\text{ and }a_{k,l}=0\text{ for }|k-l|\gg 0\}$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty^{'}=\mathfrak{gl}_\infty^{'}\oplus\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]\cdot\kappa$ be the central extension of this Lie algebra via the 2-cocycle

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{gl}_\infty'}\Big(\sum a_{k,l}E_{k,l},\sum b_{k,l}E_{k,l}\Big)=\sum_{k\le 0<l}a_{k,l}b_{l,k}-\sum_{l\le 0<k}a_{k,l}b_{l,k}.$$

For any $u,Q\in\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]^\times$, consider the homomorphism $\tau_u:U_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_Q^{(m),0,'})\longrightarrow U_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty^{'})$ such that

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}\otimes Z^kD^l\mapsto\sum_{\jmath\in\mathbb{Z}}u^lQ^{\jmath l}E_{m(\jmath+k)-\alpha,m\jmath-\beta}+\delta_{k,0}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{1-u^lQ^l}{1-Q^l}\kappa,\ c_{\mathfrak{d}}\mapsto-\kappa,$$

where we set $\frac{1-u^lQ^l}{1-Q^l}:=0$ if $Q^l=1$. In what follows, we choose $Q:=\omega^m\exp(\hbar_1)$.

Let $\varpi_u:\mathcal{U}_{\bar{R}}^{(m),\omega,'}\longrightarrow U_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty^{'})$ be the composition of $\theta^{(m)}$ and τ_u. For any $i\in[m]$, we get

$$\varpi_u(e_i(z))=\sum_{\jmath\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta(\mathfrak{q}_1^{m\jmath+m-i}u/z)E_{m\jmath-i,m\jmath-i-1},\ \varpi_u(f_i(z))=\sum_{\jmath\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta(\mathfrak{q}_1^{m\jmath+m-i}u/z)E_{m\jmath-i-1,m\jmath-i}.$$

For any $0\le p\le m-1$, let F_∞^p be the $(-p-1)$th fundamental representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty^{'}$. It is realized on $\wedge^{-p-1+\infty/2}\mathbb{C}^\infty$ with the highest weight vector $w_{-p-1}\wedge w_{-p-2}\wedge w_{-p-3}\wedge\cdots$ (here $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces with the basis $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$). Comparing the formulas for the Fock $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega,'}$-module $F_R^{p,'}(u)$ with those for the $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty^{'}$-action on F_∞^p, we see that $F_R^{p,'}(u)$ degenerates to $\varpi^*_{\mathfrak{q}_1^{p-m}u}(F_\infty^p)$ (the intertwining linear map is given by $|\lambda\rangle\mapsto w_{-p+\lambda_1-1}\wedge w_{-p+\lambda_2-2}\wedge\cdots$). Moreover, it is easy to see that any legible finite tensor product $F_R^{p_1,'}(u_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F_R^{p_r,'}(u_r)$ degenerates to $\varpi^*_{\mathfrak{q}_1^{p_1-m}u_1}(F_\infty^{p_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\varpi^*_{\mathfrak{q}_1^{p_r-m}u_r}(F_\infty^{p_r})$. It remains to prove that the module $\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}}\bigoplus_{u_1,\ldots,u_r\in\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]^\times}^{p_1,\ldots,p_r\in[m]}\tau^*_{u_1}(F_\infty^{p_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\tau^*_{u_r}(F_\infty^{p_r})$ is a faithful representation of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_Q^{(m),0,'})$. This follows from the corresponding statement after factoring by $(\hbar_1)$, where it is obvious.

For the $\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{R}}^{(n),'}$ case, we use the homomorphism $\varsigma_v:U_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{\hbar_1}^{(n),0,'})\longrightarrow U_{\bar{R}}(\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty^{'})$ defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}\otimes x^k\partial^l\mapsto\sum_{\jmath\in\mathbb{Z}}(v+\jmath\cdot n\hbar_1)^lE_{n(\jmath+k)-\alpha,n\jmath-\beta}-\delta_{k,0}\delta_{\alpha,\beta}c_l\kappa,\ c_{\mathfrak{D}}\mapsto-\kappa,$$

where $c_N\in\bar{R}$ are determined recursively via $\sum_{a=1}^N\binom{N}{a}(n\hbar_1)^ac_{N-a}=(v+n\hbar_1)^N-(n\hbar_1)^N\ \forall N$. The rest of the arguments are the same. This completes our proof of the Theorem 2.14. □

Corollary 2.15. *(a) The following is a faithful $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega,'}$-representation:*

$$\mathbf{F}_R:=\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}}\bigoplus_{u_1,\ldots,u_r\in\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]^\times-\text{not in resonance}}^{p_1,\ldots,p_r\in[m]}F_R^{p_1,'}(u_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes F_R^{p_r,'}(u_r).$$

(b) The following is a faithful $\mathcal{Y}_R^{(n),'}$-representation:

$${}^a\mathbf{F}_R:=\bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{N}}\bigoplus_{v_1,\ldots,v_r\in\hbar_1\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]-\text{not in resonance}}^{p_1,\ldots,p_r\in[n]}{}^aF_R^{p_1,'}(v_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes{}^aF_R^{p_r,'}(v_r).$$

3. Main Results

Following the notation and ideas of [GTL], we construct a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]$-algebra homomorphism

$$\Phi_{m,n}^{\omega_{mn}} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),'}$$

between the appropriate completions of the two algebras of interest for any $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega_{mn} = \sqrt[mn]{1} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Motivated by this result, we also construct a homomorphism

$$\Psi_{m,n}^{\omega',\omega} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega','} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),\omega,'}$$

for any two roots of unity ω,ω' such that $\omega'/\omega^n = \omega_{mn}$ is an mnth root of unity.

We establish compatibilities between these homomorphisms and evaluate their *classical limits*, i.e. the induced homomorphisms on the factor algebras by $(\hbar_2)$.

3.1. Homomorphism $\Phi_{m,n}^{\omega_{mn}}$.

To state our main result, we introduce the following notation (compare with [GTL]):

- Let $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),'}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{Y}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),'}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_+$-grading from Section 2.5.
- Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}$ be the kernel of the composition

$$\mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'} \xrightarrow{\hbar_2\to 0} \mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'} \hookrightarrow U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),'}) \longrightarrow U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),'}/J_{\mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}^{(m),'}})$$

and let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'} := \varprojlim \mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}/\mathfrak{J}^r$$

be the completion of $\mathcal{U}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}$ with respect to the ideal $\mathfrak{J}$.
- For $i',j' \in [mn]$, we write $i' \equiv j'$ if $i'-j'$ is divisible by m.
- For $i \in [m], i' \in [mn]$, we write $i' \equiv i$ if $i = i' \bmod m$.
- For $i' \in [mn]$, we define $\xi_{i'}(z)$ as in Section 1.3:

$$\xi_{i'}(z) := 1 + \frac{\hbar_2}{mn}\sum_{r\geq 0}\xi_{i',r}z^{-r-1} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),'}[[z^{-1}]].$$

- For $i' \in [mn], r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we define $t_{i',r} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),'}$ by

$$\sum_{r\geq 0} t_{i',r}z^{-r-1} = t_{i'}(z) := \ln(\xi_{i'}(z)).$$

- Define the *inverse Borel transform*

$$B : z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[z^{-1}]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[w]] \text{ by } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_i}{z^{i+1}} \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_i}{i!}w^i.$$

- For $i' \in [mn]$, we define $B_{i'}(w) \in \hbar_2\mathcal{Y}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),'}[[w]]$ to be the inverse Borel transform of $t_{i'}(z)$.
- For $i',j' \in [mn]$, we define $H_{i',j'}(v) \in 1 + v\mathbb{C}[[v]]$ by

$$H_{i',j'}(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{nv}{e^{\frac{nv}{2}} - e^{-\frac{nv}{2}}} & \text{if } \omega_{mn}^{i'} = \omega_{mn}^{j'} \\ \frac{\omega_{mn}^{-i'} - \omega_{mn}^{-j'}}{\omega_{mn}^{-i'}e^{\frac{nv}{2}} - \omega_{mn}^{-j'}e^{-\frac{nv}{2}}} & \text{if } \omega_{mn}^{i'} \neq \omega_{mn}^{j'} \end{cases}.$$

- For $i',j' \in [mn]$, we define a function

$$G_{i',j'}(v) := \log(H_{i',j'}(v)) \in v\mathbb{C}[[v]].$$

- For $i',j' \in [mn]$, we define

$$\gamma_{i',j'}(v) := -B_{j'}(-\partial_v)\partial_v G_{i',j'}(v) \in \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}^{(mn),'}[[v]].$$

• For $i' \in [mn]$, we define $g_{i'}(v) := \sum_{r\geq 0} g_{i',r} v^r \in \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}[[v]]$ by

$$g_{i'}(v) := \left(\frac{\hbar_2}{m(\mathfrak{q}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1})}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j'\equiv i'}^{j'\in[mn]} \gamma_{i',j'}(v)\right) \cdot \prod_{j'\equiv i', j'\neq i'}^{\omega_{mn}^{j'}=\omega_{mn}^{i'}} \xi_{j'}(v)^{1/2}.$$

The identity $B\left(\log\left(1-\frac{\nu}{z}\right)\right) = \frac{1-e^{\nu w}}{w}$ immediately implies the following result:

Corollary 3.1. *The equalities from Proposition 1.4(c) applied to $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ are equivalent to*

$$[B_{i'}(v), x^{\pm}_{j',s}] = \pm \frac{\delta_{j',i'+1}(e^{\frac{\hbar_1 v}{mn}} - e^{-\frac{\hbar_3 v}{mn}}) + \delta_{j',i'}(e^{\frac{\hbar_2 v}{mn}} - e^{-\frac{\hbar_2 v}{mn}}) + \delta_{j',i'-1}(e^{\frac{\hbar_3 v}{mn}} - e^{-\frac{\hbar_1 v}{mn}})}{v} \cdot e^{\sigma^{\pm}_{j'} v} x^{\pm}_{j',s}$$

for any $i', j' \in [mn]$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We are ready to state our first main result:

Theorem 3.2. *For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_{mn} = \sqrt[mn]{1} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, there exists an algebra homomorphism*

$$\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$$

defined on the generators by

$$(\Phi 0) \qquad h_{i,0} \mapsto \sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]} \xi_{i',0},$$

$$(\Phi 1) \qquad h_{i,l} \mapsto \frac{n}{\mathfrak{q}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1}} \sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]} \omega_{mn}^{-li'} B_{i'}(ln),$$

$$(\Phi 2) \qquad e_{i,k} \mapsto \sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]} \omega_{mn}^{-ki'} e^{kn\sigma^+_{i'}} g_{i'}(\sigma^+_{i'}) x^+_{i',0},$$

$$(\Phi 3) \qquad f_{i,k} \mapsto \sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]} \omega_{mn}^{-ki'} e^{kn\sigma^-_{i'}} g_{i'}(\sigma^-_{i'}) x^-_{i',0},$$

for all $i \in [m], k \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$.

3.2. **Homomorphism $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$.**

Our next construction establishes homomorphisms between completions $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(n),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ for different n. This result has no counterpart in the classical setting of quantum loop algebras and was solely motivated by our construction of $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$.

To state the main result, we will need some more notation:

• For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a root of unity ω, we denote the generators of $\mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ by $h^{(N)}_{i,k}, e^{(N)}_{i,k}, f^{(N)}_{i,k}$.

• For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\mathfrak{q}_{(N)} \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]$ via $\mathfrak{q}_{(N)} = \exp\left(\frac{\hbar_2}{2N}\right)$.

• Define $\mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,';\geq 0}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,';\leq 0}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ as the subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ generated by $\{h_{i,k}, e_{i,k}\}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}_{i\in[N]}$ and $\{h_{i,k}, f_{i,k}\}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}_{i\in[N]}$, respectively. Let us consider the homomorphisms

$$\tau^+_i : \mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,';\geq 0}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,';\geq 0}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \text{ defined by } h_{j,k} \mapsto h_{j,k},\ e_{j,k} \mapsto e_{j,k+\delta_{i,j}},$$

$$\tau^-_i : \mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,';\leq 0}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{(N),\omega,';\leq 0}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} \text{ defined by } h_{j,k} \mapsto h_{j,k},\ f_{j,k} \mapsto f_{j,k+\delta_{i,j}}$$

(compare with the definition of $\sigma^\pm_i$ from Section 1.3).

• For $m,n\in\mathbb{N}, i'\in[mn], \omega-$root of unity, define $R^{\pm}_{i'}(v)=\sum_{r\geq 0}R^{\pm}_{i',r}v^r\in\mathcal{U}^{(mn),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}[[v]]$ via

$$R^{\pm}_{i'}(v)=\left(\frac{n(\mathfrak{q}_{(mn)}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1}_{(mn)})}{\mathfrak{q}_{(m)}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1}_{(m)}}\right)^{1/2}\cdot\prod_{j'\equiv i'}^{j'\in[mn]}\prod_{c=0}^{n-1}\psi^{(mn),\mp}_{j'}\left(e^{\frac{2c\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}\omega_{mn}^{\frac{j'-i'}{n}}v\right)^{1/2}\cdot\psi^{(mn),\mp}_{i'}(v)^{-1/2}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *For any $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ and roots of unity ω',ω such that $\omega'/\omega^n=\omega_{mn}=\sqrt[mn]{1}$, there exists an algebra homomorphism*

$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}:\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$$

defined on the generators by

$$h^{(m)}_{i,0}\mapsto\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}h^{(mn)}_{i',0}, \tag{Ψ0}$$

$$h^{(m)}_{i,l}\mapsto\frac{n(\mathfrak{q}_{(mn)}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1}_{(mn)})}{\mathfrak{q}_{(m)}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1}_{(m)}}\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\omega_{mn}^{-li'}h^{(mn)}_{i',ln}, \tag{Ψ1}$$

$$e^{(m)}_{i,k}\mapsto\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\omega_{mn}^{-ki'}R^{+}_{i'}(\tau^{+}_{i'})e^{(mn)}_{i',kn}, \tag{Ψ2}$$

$$f^{(m)}_{i,k}\mapsto\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\omega_{mn}^{-ki'}R^{-}_{i'}(\tau^{-}_{i'})f^{(mn)}_{i',kn}, \tag{Ψ3}$$

for all $i\in[m], k\in\mathbb{Z}, l\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$.

The following result is straightforward:

Proposition 3.4. *The action of $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$ on the currents $\psi^{(m),\pm}_i(z), e^{(m)}_i(z), f^{(m)}_i(z)$ is given by*

$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(\psi^{(m),\pm}_i(z))=\prod_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\prod_{c=0}^{n-1}\psi^{(mn),\pm}_{i'}\left(e^{\frac{2c\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}\cdot(\omega_{mn}^{i'}z)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right),$$

$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(e^{(m)}_i(z))=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\sum_{c=0}^{n-1}\left\{R^{+}_{i'}(u)\cdot e^{(mn)}_{i'}(u)\right\}_{|u\mapsto e^{\frac{2c\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}\cdot(\omega_{mn}^{i'}z)^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(f^{(m)}_i(z))=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\sum_{c=0}^{n-1}\left\{R^{-}_{i'}(u)\cdot f^{(mn)}_{i'}(u)\right\}_{|u\mapsto e^{\frac{2c\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}\cdot(\omega_{mn}^{i'}z)^{\frac{1}{n}}}.$$

Proof.
(i) Note that $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(h^{(m)}_{i,0})=\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}h^{(mn)}_{i',0}$, $\mathfrak{q}_{(m)}=\mathfrak{q}^n_{(mn)}\Rightarrow\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(\psi^{(m)}_{i,0})=\left(\prod_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\psi^{(mn)}_{i',0}\right)^n$. Let us now compute the images of $\bar{\psi}^{(m),\pm}_i(z):=(\psi^{(m)}_{i,0})^{\mp 1}\cdot\psi^{(m),\pm}_i(z)$ under $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$:

$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(\bar{\psi}^{(m),\pm}_i(z))=\exp\left(\pm n(\mathfrak{q}_{(mn)}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1}_{(mn)})\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}h^{(mn)}_{i',\pm rn}(\omega_{mn}^{i'}z)^{\mp r}\right)=$$

$$\prod_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\exp\left(\pm(\mathfrak{q}_{(mn)}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1}_{(mn)})\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}nh^{(mn)}_{i',\pm rn}(\omega_{mn}^{i'}z)^{\mp r}\right)=\prod_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\prod_{c=0}^{n-1}\bar{\psi}^{(mn),\pm}_{i'}\left(e^{\frac{2c\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}(\omega_{mn}^{i'}z)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right).$$

Combining these two computations, we get the first formula of the proposition.
(ii) By construction, $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(e^{(m)}_i(z)) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i'\equiv i}^{i'\in[mn]}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{-k}\omega_{mn}^{-ki'}\cdot R^+_{i',r}e^{(mn)}_{i',r+kn}$, where we set $R^+_{i',r}=0$ for $r<0$. The second formula follows from $\sum_{c=0}^{n-1} e^{\frac{2cN\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}} = n\delta_{N\equiv 0\atop n}$ and $\{R^+_{i'}(u)\cdot e^{(mn)}_{i'}(u)\}_{|u\mapsto e^{\frac{2c\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}(\omega^{i'}_{mn}z)^{\frac{1}{n}}} = \sum_{r,s\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2c(r-s)\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}}(\omega^{i'}_{mn}z)^{\frac{r-s}{n}}\cdot R^+_{i',r}e^{(mn)}_{i',s}$.
(iii) Same arguments as those used in (ii) imply the above formula for $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(f^{(m)}_i(z))$. □

3.3. **Limit homomorphisms.**
Recall the established isomorphisms

$$\theta^{(m)} : \mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}/(\hbar_2)\xrightarrow{\sim} U(\bar{\mathfrak{d}}^{(m),0,'}_{\mathfrak{q}_1^m}),$$

$$\vartheta^{(mn)} : \mathcal{Y}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}/(\hbar_2)\xrightarrow{\sim} U(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}^{(mn),0,'}_{\hbar_1}),$$

where $\mathfrak{q}_1=\omega_{mn}\exp(\hbar_1/m) \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{q}_1^m=\omega_n\exp(\hbar_1)$ with $\omega_n:=\omega_{mn}^m$.
Considering factors by $(\hbar_2)$, we get the *classical limit* of $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$, viewed as

$$\bar{\Phi}^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n} : U(\widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{d}}}^{(m),0,'}_{\omega_n\exp(\hbar_1)}) \longrightarrow U(\widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{D}}}^{(mn),0,'}_{\hbar_1}).$$

Proposition 3.5. *The limit homomorphism $\bar{\Phi}^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ is induced by $\bar{\Upsilon}^{\omega_n}_{m,n}$.*

Proof.
Note that $\frac{\hbar_2}{m(\mathfrak{q}-\mathfrak{q}^{-1})}\equiv 1 \pmod{\hbar_2}$, $\frac{mn}{\hbar_2}B_{i'}(v)\equiv\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{v^r}{r!}\xi_{i',r} \pmod{\hbar_2} \Rightarrow g_{i'}(v)\equiv 1 \pmod{\hbar_2}$. Together with the identity $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{(kn)^r}{r!}(\partial+\frac{s}{mn}\hbar_1)^r = (\omega_{mn}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_1)^{ks}e^{kn\partial}$, we get:

$$\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(h_{i,k}) \equiv \sum_{i'\equiv i}\omega_{mn}^{-ki'}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{(kn)^r}{r!}\xi_{i',r} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\omega_{mn}^{-k(m(a-1)+i)}\frac{(kn)^r}{r!}\xi_{m(a-1)+i,r} \pmod{\hbar_2},$$

$$\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(e_{i,k}) \equiv \sum_{i'\equiv i}\omega_{mn}^{-ki'}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{(kn)^r}{r!}x^+_{i',r} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\omega_{mn}^{-k(m(a-1)+i)}\frac{(kn)^r}{r!}x^+_{m(a-1)+i,r} \pmod{\hbar_2},$$

$$\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(f_{i,k}) \equiv \sum_{i'\equiv i}\omega_{mn}^{-ki'}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{(kn)^r}{r!}x^-_{i',r} \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{n}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\omega_{mn}^{-k(m(a-1)+i)}\frac{(kn)^r}{r!}x^-_{m(a-1)+i,r} \pmod{\hbar_2}.$$

Recalling the formulas for the action of $\theta^{(m)}$ and $\vartheta^{(mn)}$ on the generators $\{e_{i,k},f_{i,k},h_{i,k}\}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}_{i\in[m]}$ and $\{x^{\pm}_{i',r},\xi_{i',r}\}^{r\in\mathbb{Z}_+}_{i'\in[mn]}$ (see Propositions 2.5, 2.10), we get the result. □

Combining this result with Theorems 2.2(d), 2.14, we get:

Corollary 3.6. *If $\omega_n=\omega_{mn}^m$ is a primitive nth root of unity, then $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ is injective. In particular, the homomorphisms $\Phi^{\omega_N}_{N,1}$ are injective for any $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\omega_N=\sqrt[N]{1}\in\mathbb{C}^\times$.*

Analogously, one can consider the *classical limit* of $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$, viewed as

$$\bar{\Psi}^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n} : U(\widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{d}}}^{(m),0,'}_{(\omega')^m\exp(\hbar_1)}) \longrightarrow U(\widehat{\bar{\mathfrak{d}}}^{(mn),0,'}_{\omega^{mn}\exp(\hbar_1)}).$$

Set $Q_1:=(\omega')^m\exp(\hbar_1), Q_2:=\omega^{mn}\exp(\hbar_1)\in\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]^\times$, so that $Q_1=\omega_n\cdot Q_2$ with $\omega_n=\omega_{mn}^m$. On the other hand, there is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1]]$-algebras

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{m,n} : \bar{\mathfrak{d}}^{(m),'}_{Q_1} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{d}}^{(mn),'}_{Q_2}$$

constructed analogously to $\bar{\Upsilon}^{\omega_n}_{m,n}$ from Theorem 2.2 with $e^{n\partial}$ being replaced by D^n. The proof of the following result is straightforward (compare to Proposition 3.5):

Proposition 3.7. *The limit homomorphism $\bar{\Psi}^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$ is induced by $\bar{\Gamma}_{m,n}$.*

3.4. **Compatibilities between homomorphisms.**

• *Compatibility between* Ψ *and* Φ *homomorphisms.*
For any $m,n,l\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\omega_{mn}=\sqrt[mn]{1},\omega_{mnl}=\sqrt[mnl]{1}$, let us consider the following algebras:

$$\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega'_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},\ \mathcal{U}^{(mn),\omega_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},\ \mathcal{Y}^{(mnl),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},$$

where we define $\omega'_{mnl}=\omega_{mn}\omega^n_{mnl}$. So far, we have constructed three homomorphisms

$$\Phi^{\omega'_{mnl}}_{m,nl}:\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega'_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(mnl),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},$$
$$\Phi^{\omega_{mnl}}_{mn,l}:\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(mnl),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},$$
$$\Psi^{\omega'_{mnl},\omega_{mnl}}_{m,n}:\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega'_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}.$$

These homomorphisms are compatible in the following sense:

Theorem 3.8. *We have* $\Phi^{\omega'_{mnl}}_{m,nl}=\Phi^{\omega_{mnl}}_{mn,l}\circ\Psi^{\omega'_{mnl},\omega_{mnl}}_{m,n}$.

In other words, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega'_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} & & \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi^{\omega'_{mnl},\omega_{mnl}}_{m,n}} & \searrow^{\Phi^{\omega'_{mnl}}_{m,nl}} & \\
\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega_{mnl},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{\omega_{mnl}}_{mn,l}} & \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}^{(mnl),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}
\end{array}$$

• *Compatibility between* Ψ *homomorphisms.*
For any $m,n,l\in\mathbb{N}$ and roots of unity ω'',ω',ω such that $\omega''/(\omega')^n=\omega_{mn},\omega'/\omega^l=\omega_{mnl}$, consider the following formal quantum toroidal algebras:

$$\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega'','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},\ \mathcal{U}^{(mn),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},\ \mathcal{U}^{(mnl),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}.$$

In Theorem 3.3, we have constructed the following homomorphisms between those

$$\Psi^{\omega'',\omega'}_{m,n}:\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega'','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},$$
$$\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{mn,l}:\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mnl),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2},$$
$$\Psi^{\omega'',\omega}_{m,nl}:\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega'','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mnl),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}.$$

These homomorphisms are compatible in the following sense:

Theorem 3.9. *We have* $\Psi^{\omega'',\omega}_{m,nl}=\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{mn,l}\circ\Psi^{\omega'',\omega'}_{m,n}$.

In other words, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(m),\omega'','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} & & \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi^{\omega'',\omega'}_{m,n}} & \searrow^{\Psi^{\omega'',\omega}_{m,nl}} & \\
\widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mn),\omega','}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2} & \xrightarrow{\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{mn,l}} & \widehat{\mathcal{U}}^{(mnl),\omega,'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}
\end{array}$$

The proofs of Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 3.8, 3.9 are based on the similar arguments and will be presented in the next section.

4. Proofs of Theorems

4.1. **Compatible isomorphisms of representations.**

Given m, n and an order mn root of unity ω_{mn}, we consider the two algebras of interest: $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),'}_{\hbar_1,\hbar_2}$. To proceed further, choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and the following r-tuples:

$$\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_r) \in [mn]^r,\ \mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in ((\hbar_1, \hbar_2)\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]])^r,$$

$$\mathbf{p}' = (p'_1, \dots, p'_r) \in [m]^r,\ \mathbf{u}' = (u'_1, \dots, u'_r) \in (\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]^\times)^r.$$

Associated to this data, we have a collection of Fock $\mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_R$-representations $\{F^{p'_i}_R(u'_i)\}^r_{i=1}$ and a collection of Fock $\mathcal{Y}^{(mn),'}_R$-representations $\{{}^aF^{p_i}_R(v_i)\}^r_{i=1}$. Following Section 1.5, we consider

$$F^{\mathbf{p}'}_R(\mathbf{u}') := F^{p'_1}_R(u'_1) \otimes F^{p'_2}_R(u'_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes F^{p'_r}_R(u'_r) - \text{representation of } \mathcal{U}^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}_R,$$

$${}^aF^{\mathbf{p}}_R(\mathbf{v}) := {}^aF^{p_1}_R(v_1) \otimes {}^aF^{p_2}_R(v_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^aF^{p_r}_R(v_r) - \text{representation of } \mathcal{Y}^{(mn),'}_R,$$

whenever those representations are well-defined, i.e. $\{u'_i\}^r_{i=1}$ and $\{v_i\}^r_{i=1}$ are *not in resonance*. Both of these tensor products have natural bases $\{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle\}$ labeled by r-tuples of partitions

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)}) \text{ with } \lambda^{(i)} - \text{partition}.$$

The action of the generators $\{h_{i,k}, e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}\}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}_{i\in[m]}$ and $\{\xi_{i',r}, x^{\pm}_{i',r}\}^{r\in\mathbb{Z}_+}_{i'\in[mn]}$ in these bases is given by explicit formulas from Propositions 1.10, 1.12, where we replace $\{h_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$ as follows:

$$h_i \rightsquigarrow \frac{\hbar_i}{mn},\ q_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q}_1 := \omega_{mn} e^{\frac{\hbar_1}{m}},\ q \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q} := e^{\frac{\hbar_2}{2m}},\ q_2 \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q}_2 := \mathfrak{q}^2,\ q_3 \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{q}_3 := \omega^{-1}_{mn} e^{\frac{\hbar_3}{m}}.$$

Our first result establishes an isomorphism of these tensor products, compatible with $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $m, n, \omega_{mn}, r, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}$, define p'_i, u'_i via $p'_i := p_i \bmod m$ and $u'_i := \frac{e^{nv_i}}{\omega^{p_i}_{mn}}$. There exists a unique collection of constants $c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m, n; \omega_{mn}) \in R$ such that $c^{\Phi}_{\emptyset}(m, n; \omega_{mn}) = 1$ and the corresponding R-linear isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}} : F^{\mathbf{p}'}_R(\mathbf{u}') \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^aF^{\mathbf{p}}_R(\mathbf{v}) \text{ given by } |\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle \mapsto c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m, n; \omega_{mn}) \cdot |\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle$$

satisfies the property

(†) $I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}(X(w)) = \Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(X)(I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}(w))$ for any $w \in F^{\mathbf{p}'}_R(\mathbf{u}'), X \in \{h_{i,k}, e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}\}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}_{i\in[m]}$.

If (†) holds, we say that $I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}$ is compatible with $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$.

Proof.

By straightforward computation, one can see that (†) holds for any $w = |\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle$, $X = h_{i,k}$ and an arbitrary choice of $c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m, n; \omega_{mn})$. On the other hand, the equalities

$$I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}(e_{i,k}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle)) = \Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(e_{i,k})(I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle))\ \forall\ \boldsymbol{\lambda},\ i \in [m],\ k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}(f_{i,k}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle)) = \Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(f_{i,k})(I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle))\ \forall\ \boldsymbol{\lambda},\ i \in [m],\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

are both equivalent to

$$\frac{c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+1^{(l)}_i}(m, n; \omega_{mn})}{c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m, n; \omega_{mn})} = d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1^{(l)}_i}(m, n; \omega_{mn}), \tag{3}$$

where

$$(4)\quad d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega_{mn}):=$$
$$\prod_{a=1}^{r}\prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\underset{m}{\equiv}c_i(\lambda^{(l)})-1}^{s\in\mathbb{N}}\psi(\mathfrak{q}_1^{-1}\chi_s^{(a)}/\chi_i^{(l)})^{\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}}\cdot\prod_{a=1}^{r}\prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\underset{m}{\equiv}c_i(\lambda^{(l)})}^{s\in\mathbb{N}}\psi(\chi_i^{(l)}/\chi_s^{(a)})^{\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}}\times$$
$$\prod_{a=1}^{r}\prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\underset{mn}{\equiv}c_i(\lambda^{(l)})-1}^{s\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\frac{x_s^{(a)}-x_i^{(l)}+\frac{\hbar_3}{mn}}{x_s^{(a)}-x_i^{(l)}-\frac{\hbar_1}{mn}}\right)^{-\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}}\cdot\prod_{a=1}^{r}\prod_{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\underset{mn}{\equiv}c_i(\lambda^{(l)})}^{s\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\frac{x_i^{(l)}-x_s^{(a)}-\frac{\hbar_2}{mn}}{x_i^{(l)}-x_s^{(a)}}\right)^{-\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}}.$$

In this formula, we use the following notation:

$$\chi_s^{(a)}=\mathfrak{q}_1^{\lambda_s^{(a)}}\mathfrak{q}_3^{s-1}u_a,\ x_s^{(a)}=\lambda_s^{(a)}\cdot\frac{\hbar_1}{mn}+(s-1)\cdot\frac{\hbar_3}{mn}+v_a,\ \epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}:=\begin{cases}1/2 & \text{if } (a,s)\succ(l,i)\\ -1/2 & \text{if } (a,s)\prec(l,i)\\ 0 & \text{if } a=l,s=i\end{cases}.$$

The uniqueness of $c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,n;\omega_{mn})\in R$ satisfying the relation (3) with the initial condition $c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\emptyset}}(m,n;\omega_{mn})=1$ is obvious. The existence of such $c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,n;\omega_{mn})$ is equivalent to

$$d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+1_i^{(l)},1_j^{(k)}}(m,n;\omega_{mn})\cdot d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega_{mn})=d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+1_j^{(k)},1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega_{mn})\cdot d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_j^{(k)}}(m,n;\omega_{mn})$$

for all possible $\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)},1_j^{(k)}$. The verification of this identity is straightforward. □

Let us now consider the setup from Section 3.2: $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ and ω',ω are roots of unity such that $\omega'/\omega^n=\omega_{mn}=\sqrt[mn]{1}$. For any $r\in\mathbb{N}$, we consider the following r-tuples:

$$\mathbf{p}=(p_1,\dots,p_r)\in[mn]^r,\ \mathbf{u}=(u_1,\dots,u_r)\in(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]^{\times})^r,$$
$$\mathbf{p}'=(p'_1,\dots,p'_r)\in[m]^r,\ \mathbf{u}'=(u'_1,\dots,u'_r)\in(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]^{\times})^r.$$

We further assume that $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{u'_i\}_{i=1}^r$ are *not in resonance*, so that we can define

$$F_R^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{u}):=F_R^{p_1}(u_1)\otimes F_R^{p_2}(u_2)\otimes\cdots\otimes F_R^{p_r}(u_r)-\text{representation of }\mathcal{U}_R^{(mn),\omega,'},$$
$$F_R^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}'):=F_R^{p'_1}(u'_1)\otimes F_R^{p'_2}(u'_2)\otimes\cdots\otimes F_R^{p'_r}(u'_r)-\text{representation of }\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega','}.$$

Our next result establishes an isomorphism of these tensor products, compatible with $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$.

Theorem 4.2. *For any $m,n,\omega',\omega,r,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}$ as above, define $p'_i:=p_i \bmod m$ and $u'_i:=\frac{u_i^n}{\omega_{mn}^{p_i}}$. There exists a unique collection of constants $c^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,n;\omega',\omega)\in R$ such that $c^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\emptyset}}(m,n;\omega',\omega)=1$ and the corresponding R-linear isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}:F_R^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}')\xrightarrow{\sim}F_R^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{u})\text{ given by }|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle\mapsto c^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,n;\omega',\omega)\cdot|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle$$

satisfies the property

(‡) $J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}(X(w))=\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(X)(J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}(w))$ for any $w\in F_R^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}'),X\in\{h_{i,k},e_{i,k},f_{i,k}\}_{i\in[m]}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

If (‡) holds, we say that $J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}$ is compatible with $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$.

Proof.

It is straightforward to see that (‡) holds for all $w=|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle,\ X=h_{i,k}$ under any choice of $c^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,n;\omega',\omega)$. On the other hand, the equalities

$$J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}(e_{i,k}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle))=\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(e_{i,k})(I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle))\ \forall\ \boldsymbol{\lambda},\ i\in[m],\ k\in\mathbb{Z},$$
$$J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}(f_{i,k}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle))=\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(f_{i,k})(I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}(|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle))\ \forall\ \boldsymbol{\lambda},\ i\in[m],\ k\in\mathbb{Z}$$

are both equivalent to

$$\frac{c^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}+1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega',\omega)}{c^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,n;\omega',\omega)} = d^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega',\omega), \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega',\omega) :=& \\ \prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{\substack{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\\ \equiv_m c_i(\lambda^{(l)})-1}}^{s\in\mathbb{N}} \psi'(\mathfrak{q}_1'^{-1}\chi_s^{(a)'}/\chi_i^{(l)'})^{\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{\substack{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\\ \equiv_m c_i(\lambda^{(l)})}}^{s\in\mathbb{N}} \psi'(\chi_i^{(l)'}/\chi_s^{(a)'})^{\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}} \times& \\ \prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{\substack{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\\ \equiv_{mn} c_i(\lambda^{(l)})-1}}^{s\in\mathbb{N}} \psi(\mathfrak{q}_1^{-1}\chi_s^{(a)}/\chi_i^{(l)})^{-\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}} \cdot \prod_{a=1}^{r} \prod_{\substack{c_s(\lambda^{(a)})\\ \equiv_{mn} c_i(\lambda^{(l)})}}^{s\in\mathbb{N}} \psi(\chi_i^{(l)}/\chi_s^{(a)})^{-\epsilon^{(a,s)}_{(l,i)}}.& \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In this formula, we use the following notation:

$$\mathfrak{q}_1' = \omega' \exp(\hbar_1/m),\ \mathfrak{q}' = \exp(\hbar_2/2m),\ \mathfrak{q}_2' = \mathfrak{q}'^2,\ \mathfrak{q}_3' = \omega'^{-1}\exp(\hbar_3/m),\ \chi_s^{(a)'} = \mathfrak{q}_1'^{\lambda_s^{(a)}}\mathfrak{q}_3'^{s-1}u_a',$$

$$\mathfrak{q}_1 = \omega \exp(\hbar_1/mn),\ \mathfrak{q} = \exp(\hbar_2/2mn),\ \mathfrak{q}_2 = \mathfrak{q}^2,\ \mathfrak{q}_3 = \omega^{-1}\exp(\hbar_3/mn),\ \chi_s^{(a)} = \mathfrak{q}_1^{\lambda_s^{(a)}}\mathfrak{q}_3^{s-1}u_a,$$

$$\psi'(z) = (\mathfrak{q}' - \mathfrak{q}'^{-1}z)/(1-z),\ \psi(z) = (\mathfrak{q} - \mathfrak{q}^{-1}z)/(1-z).$$

The rest of the arguments are the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

4.2. **Proofs of Main Results.**

Now we are ready to provide short proofs of all theorems from Section 3.

• *Proof of Theorem 3.2.*

Recall the faithful $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}$-representation $\mathbf{F}_R := \bigoplus_r \bigoplus_{\mathbf{u}'}^{\mathbf{p}'} F_R^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}')$ and the faithful $\mathcal{Y}_R^{(mn),'}$-representation ${}^a\mathbf{F}_R := \bigoplus_r \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{p}} {}^aF_R^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})$ from Corollary 2.15. According to Theorem 4.1, we have an R-linear isomorphism $\mathbf{I} : \mathbf{F}_R \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^a\mathbf{F}_R$ compatible with $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ in the following sense:

$$\mathbf{I}(X(w)) = \Phi^{\omega_{m,n}}_{m,n}(X)(\mathbf{I}(w)) \text{ for any } w \in \mathbf{F}_R,\ X \in \{h_{i,k}, e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}\}_{i\in[m]}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}.$$

For any $X \in \{h_{i,k}, e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}\}_{i\in[m]}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, consider the assignment $X \mapsto \Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}(X)$ with the right-hand side defined via (Φ0)–(Φ3). Then Theorem 3.2 is equivalent to saying that this assignment preserves all the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}$. The latter follows immediately from the faithfulness of ${}^a\mathbf{F}_R$ combined with an existence of the compatible isomorphism $\mathbf{I}$.

• *Proof of Theorem 3.3.*

The result of Theorem 3.3 is equivalent to saying that the assignment

$$X \mapsto \Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}(X) \text{ for } X \in \{h_{i,k}, e_{i,k}, f_{i,k}\}_{i\in[m]}^{k\in\mathbb{Z}},$$

defined via (Ψ0)–(Ψ3), preserves all the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega','}$. The latter follows immediately by combining Corollary 2.15(a) with Theorem 4.2 (as in our proof of Theorem 3.2).

• *Proof of Theorem 3.8.*

Let $m, n, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega_{mn}, \omega_{mnl}, \omega'_{mnl}$ be as in Section 3.4. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and r-tuples

$$\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_r) \in [mnl]^r,\ \mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in ((\hbar_1, \hbar_2)\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]])^r,$$

we define $\mathbf{p}' \in [mn]^r,\ \mathbf{p}'' \in [m]^r,\ \mathbf{u}' \in (\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]^\times)^r,\ \mathbf{u}'' \in (\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1, \hbar_2]]^\times)^r$ via

$$p_i' := p_i \bmod mn,\ p_i'' := p_i \bmod m,\ u_i' := \frac{e^{lv_i}}{\omega_{mnl}^{p_i}},\ u_i'' := \frac{e^{nlv_i}}{\omega_{mnl}'^{p_i}} = \frac{u_i'^n}{\omega_{mn}^{p_i'}}.$$

Assume that $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^r, \{u_i'\}_{i=1}^r, \{u_i''\}_{i=1}^r$ are *not in resonance*, so that we can consider the representations $V'' := F_R^{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{u}''), V' := F_R^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}'), V := {}^aF_R^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})$ of $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega'_{mnl},'}, \mathcal{U}_R^{(mn),\omega_{mnl},'}, \mathcal{Y}_R^{(mnl),'}$. Consider the isomorphisms between these vector spaces, constructed in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2:

$$I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,nl;\omega'_{mnl}} : V'' \xrightarrow{\sim} V,\ I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{mn,l;\omega_{mnl}} : V' \xrightarrow{\sim} V,\ J^{r;\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{u}'}_{m,n;\omega'_{mnl},\omega_{mnl}} : V'' \xrightarrow{\sim} V'.$$

Lemma 4.3. *We have* $I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,nl;\omega'_{mnl}} = I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{mn,l;\omega_{mnl}} \circ J^{r;\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{u}'}_{m,n;\omega'_{mnl},\omega_{mnl}}$.

Proof.

All three isomorphisms are diagonal in the bases $\{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle\}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,nl;\omega'_{mnl}) = c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(mn,l;\omega_{mnl}) \cdot c^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,n;\omega'_{mnl},\omega_{mnl})\ \ \forall\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}.$$

By the definition of $c^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m,nl;\omega'_{mnl})$, this reduces to

$$d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,nl;\omega'_{mnl}) = d^{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(mn,l;\omega_{mnl}) \cdot d^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega'_{mnl},\omega_{mnl})\ \ \forall\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}, 1_i^{(l)},$$

which follows immediately from the formulas (4) and (6). □

Combining this lemma, the compatibilities (†) and (‡), and faithfulness of the representation ${}^a\mathbf{F}_R$ of $\mathcal{Y}_R^{(mnl),'}$, we get the proof of Theorem 3.8.

• *Proof of Theorem 3.9.*

Let $m,n,l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega'', \omega', \omega, \omega_{mn}, \omega_{mnl}$ be as in Section 3.4. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and r-tuples

$$\mathbf{p} = (p_1,\dots,p_r) \in [mnl]^r,\ \mathbf{u} = (u_1,\dots,u_r) \in (\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]^{\times})^r,$$

we define the other four r-tuples

$$\mathbf{p}' \in [mn]^r,\ \mathbf{p}'' \in [m]^r,\ \mathbf{u}' \in (\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]^{\times})^r,\ \mathbf{u}'' \in (\mathbb{C}[[\hbar_1,\hbar_2]]^{\times})^r$$

via

$$p_i' := p_i \bmod mn,\ p_i'' := p_i \bmod m,\ u_i' := \frac{u_i^l}{\omega_{mnl}^{p_i}},\ u_i'' := \frac{u_i'^n}{\omega_{mn}^{p_i'}}.$$

Assume that $\{u_i''\}_{i=1}^r, \{u_i'\}_{i=1}^r, \{u_i\}_{i=1}^r$ are *not in resonance*, so that we can consider the representations $F_R^{\mathbf{p}''}(\mathbf{u}''), F_R^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}'), F_R^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{u})$ of $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega'','}, \mathcal{U}_R^{(mn),\omega','}, \mathcal{U}_R^{(mnl),\omega,'}$, respectively.

Lemma 4.4. *We have* $J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,nl;\omega'',\omega} = J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{mn,l;\omega',\omega} \circ J^{r;\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{u}'}_{m,n;\omega'',\omega'}$.

Proof.

Analogously to the previous lemma, this result boils down to a verification of

$$d^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,nl;\omega'',\omega) = d^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(mn,l;\omega',\omega) \cdot d^{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},1_i^{(l)}}(m,n;\omega'',\omega')\ \ \forall\ \boldsymbol{\lambda}, 1_i^{(l)}.$$

This identity follows immediately from the structure of the formula (6). □

Theorem 3.9 follows from this lemma and faithfulness of the $\mathcal{U}_R^{(mnl),\omega,'}$-representation $\mathbf{F}_R$.

Remark 4.5. *(a) One can check that $\Phi^{\omega_{mn}}_{m,n}$ defined on the generators via (Φ0)–(Φ3) preserves all the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega_{mn},'}$, except for the Serre relations, by direct computations (compare with* [GTL]*). We also used this approach to determine (Φ0)–(Φ3). However, we are not aware of any direct verification of the Serre relations (the arguments of* [GTL] *heavily rely on the existence of subalgebras $U_q(L\mathfrak{sl}_2) \subset U_q(L\mathfrak{g})$ for which there are no Serre relations).*
(b) One can similarly check that $\Psi^{\omega',\omega}_{m,n}$ defined on the generators via (Ψ0)–(Ψ3) preserves all the defining relations of $\mathcal{U}_R^{(m),\omega','}$, except for the Serre relations, by direct computations.
(c) One can also prove Theorems 3.8, 3.9 by direct computations (that was our original proof). However, we find the above arguments more elegant and uniform in the spirit.

4.3. **Geometric interpretation.**

The goal of this section is to provide the geometric realization for
-the Fock modules $F^p(u)$ and ${}^aF^p(v)$ from Section 1.4,
-the tensor products of Fock modules $F^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{u})$ and ${}^aF^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v})$ from Section 1.5,
-the intertwining isomorphisms $I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}$ and $J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}$ from Section 4.1.

Given a quiver Q and dimension vectors $\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathrm{vert}(Q)}$ ($\mathrm{vert}(Q)$–the set of vertices of Q), one can define the associated Nakajima quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}^Q(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$. These varieties play a crucial role in the geometric representation theory of quantum and Yangian algebras. For the purposes of our paper, we will be interested only in the following set of quivers Q (labeled by $n\in\mathbb{N}$):
$\circ$ Q_1 is the Jordan quiver with one vertex ($\mathrm{vert}(Q)=[1]$) and one loop,
$\circ$ Q_n (with $n>1$) is the cyclic quiver with $\mathrm{vert}(Q)=[n]$.

For any Q_n as above and $\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{[n]}$, let us consider $[n]$-graded vectors spaces $V=\bigoplus_{i\in[n]}V_i$ and $W=\bigoplus_{i\in[n]}W_i$ such that $\dim(V_i)=v_i$ and $\dim(W_i)=w_i$. Define:

$$M(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})=\bigoplus_{i\in[n]}\mathrm{Hom}(V_i,V_{i+1})\oplus\bigoplus_{i\in[n]}\mathrm{Hom}(V_i,V_{i-1})\oplus\bigoplus_{i\in[n]}\mathrm{Hom}(W_i,V_i)\oplus\bigoplus_{i\in[n]}\mathrm{Hom}(V_i,W_i).$$

Elements of $M(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ can be written as $(\mathbf{B}=\{B_i\},\ \bar{\mathbf{B}}=\{\bar B_i\},\ \mathbf{a}=\{a_i\},\ \mathbf{b}=\{b_i\})_{i\in[n]}$. Consider the moment map $\mu: M(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})\to\bigoplus_{i\in[n]}\mathrm{End}(V_i)$ defined by

$$\mu(\mathbf{B},\bar{\mathbf{B}},\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})=\sum_{i\in[n]}(B_{i-1}\bar B_i-\bar B_{i+1}B_i+a_ib_i).$$

An element $(\mathbf{B},\bar{\mathbf{B}},\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})\in\mu^{-1}(0)$ is called *stable* if there is no non-zero $(\mathbf{B},\bar{\mathbf{B}})$-invariant subspace of V contained in $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathbf{b})$. Let $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$ denote the subset of all stable elements of $\mu^{-1}(0)$. An important property of $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$ is that the group $G_{\mathbf{v}}=\prod_{i\in[n]}GL(V_i)$ acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$. The Nakajima quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ (associated to Q_n and $\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{[n]}$) is defined as

$$\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})=\mu^{-1}(0)^s/G_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

There is a natural action of the *maximal* torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}:=\mathbb{C}^\times\times\mathbb{C}^\times\times\prod_{i\in[n]}(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{w_i}$ on $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})$ for any $\mathbf{v}$. Moreover, it is known that the set of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}$-fixed points is parametrized by the tuples of Young diagrams $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\{\lambda^{(i,j)}\}_{i\in[n]}^{1\le j\le w_i}$ satisfying the following requirement. For any i,j as above, let us color the boxes of $\lambda^{(i,j)}$ into n colors $[n]$, so that the box staying in the ath row and bth column has color $i+a-b$. Our requirement is that the total number of color ι boxes equals v_ι.

For $\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{[n]}$, consider the direct sum of equivariant cohomology $H(\mathbf{w})=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v}}H^\bullet_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}))$. It is a module over $H^\bullet_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathrm{pt})=\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}]=\mathbb{C}[s_1,s_2,\{x_{i,j}\}_{i\in[n]}^{1\le j\le w_i}]$, where $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}=\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}})$. Define $H(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}:=H(\mathbf{w})\otimes_{H^\bullet_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathrm{pt})}\mathrm{Frac}(H^\bullet_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathrm{pt}))$. Let $[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ be the direct image of the fundamental cycle of the $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}$-fixed point, corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The set $\{[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]\}$ forms a basis of $H(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.

Let us consider an analogous direct sum of equivariant K-groups $K(\mathbf{w})=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v}}K^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}))$. It is a module over $K^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathrm{pt})=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}]=\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm1},t_2^{\pm1},\{\chi_{i,j}^{\pm1}\}_{i\in[n]}^{1\le j\le w_i}]$. Define the localized version $K(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}:=K(\mathbf{w})\otimes_{K^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathrm{pt})}\mathrm{Frac}(K^{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}}(\mathrm{pt}))$. Let $[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ be the direct image of the structure sheaf of the $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{w}}$-fixed point, corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The set $\{[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]\}$ forms a basis of $K(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.

The following result goes back to [Na, V] (for $n>1$) and [SV1, SV2, T] (for $n=1$):

Theorem 4.6. *(a) For any $\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{[n]}$, there is a natural action of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{s_1,-s_1-s_2,s_2}$ on $H(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.*
(b) For any $\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{[n]}$, there is a natural action of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{t_1,t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1},t_2}$ on $K(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.

In what follows, we set $h_1=s_1,\ h_2=-s_1-s_2,\ h_3=s_2$ and $q_1=t_1,\ q_2=t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1},\ q_3=t_2$.

Proposition 4.7. *For $p \in [n]$, define $\mathbf{w}^{(p)} = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{[n]}$ with 1 at the pth place.*
(a) There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$-representations $\alpha : F^p(\chi_{p,1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\mathbf{w}^{(p)})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.
(b) There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$-representations ${}^a\alpha : {}^aF^p(x_{p,1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H(\mathbf{w}^{(p)})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.
(c) Both isomorphisms are given by diagonal matrices in the bases $\{[\lambda]\}$ and $\{|\lambda\rangle\}$.

Proof.
The $n = 1$ case of this result was treated in [T, Section 4], while the general case can be easily deduced from the former by the standard procedure of "taking a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-invariant part". □

The higher-rank generalization of this result is straightforward.

Proposition 4.8. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{[n]}$, the following holds:*
(a) There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{U}^{(n)}_{q_1,q_2,q_3}$-representations $\alpha : \bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{w_i} F^i(\chi_{i,j}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.
(b) There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}_{h_1,h_2,h_3}$-representations ${}^a\alpha : \bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{w_i} {}^aF^i(x_{i,j}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$.
(c) Both isomorphisms are given by diagonal matrices in the bases $\{[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]\}$ and $\{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}\rangle\}$.
(d) Parts (a,b) still hold for an arbitrary reordering of tensor products from the left-hand sides.

There exists a well-known relation between the Nakajima quiver varieties associated to the quivers Q_m and Q_{mn}. Let $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{w}^{(p_i)}$, $\mathbf{w}' = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{w}^{(p'_i)}$ with $p_i \in [mn]$, $p'_i \in [m]$, where $p'_i := p_i \bmod m$. Then there is an action of the group $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}$ on $\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}'} \mathfrak{M}^{Q_m}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{w}')$ such that the variety of fixed points is isomorphic to $\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}} \mathfrak{M}^{Q_{mn}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$. Therefore, we have an inclusion $\bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}} \mathfrak{M}^{Q_{mn}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \hookrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}'} \mathfrak{M}^{Q_m}(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{w}')$. Let $\mathcal{J}_{m,n} \colon K(\mathbf{w}')_{\mathrm{loc}} \to K(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$ be the corresponding pull-back in localized equivariant K-theory. This map is diagonal in the bases of fixed points, hence, it is an isomorphism. In a similar way, define $\mathfrak{I}_{m,n} \colon K(\mathbf{w}')_{\mathrm{loc}} \to H(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}}$ as a composition of an equivariant Chern character map and a pull-back in localized equivariant cohomology.

Our main result of this subsection reveals a geometric realization of $I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}$ and $J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}$.

Theorem 4.9. *The following two diagrams are commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}') & \xrightarrow{I^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{v}}_{m,n;\omega_{mn}}} & {}^aF^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{v}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle {}^a\alpha} \\ K(\mathbf{w}')_{\mathrm{loc}} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{I}_{m,n}} & H(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} F^{\mathbf{p}'}(\mathbf{u}') & \xrightarrow{J^{r;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{u}}_{m,n;\omega',\omega}} & F^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{u}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} \\ K(\mathbf{w}')_{\mathrm{loc}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}_{m,n}} & K(\mathbf{w})_{\mathrm{loc}} \end{array}$$

where parameters $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{p}$ are specified in Proposition 4.8.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to B. Feigin, M. Finkelberg, A. Negut and N. Nekrasov for their comments and encouragement. The authors would also like to thank the organizers of 2015 PCMI research program on the Geometry of Moduli Spaces and Representation Theory, where part of the project was performed. A. T. thanks the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for support and great working conditions, in which the project was started. A. T. also gratefully acknowledges support from the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University, in which the final version of the paper was completed.

The work of A. T. was supported by the NSF Grant DMS-1502497. M. B. acknowledges the financial support from the National Research University Higher School of Economics by the Government of the Russian Federation for the implementation of the Global Competitiveness Program, financial support of Simons-IUM fellowship and RFBR grant mol_a_ved 15-32-20974.

References

[BBT] A. Belavin, M. Bershtein, and G. Tarnopolsky, *Bases in coset conformal field theory from AGT correspondence and Macdonald polynomials at the roots of unity*, J. High Energy Phys. **1304:019** (2013), no. 3; arXiv:hep-th/1211.2788.

[BKLY] C. Boyallian, V. Kac, J. Liberati, and C. Yan, *Quasifinite highest weight modules over the Lie algebra of matrix differential operators on the circle*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998), no. 5, 2910–2928.

[D] V. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras*, preprint FTINT 30–86 (1986).

[DI] J. Ding and K. Iohara, *Generalization of Drinfeld quantum affine algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **41** (1997), no. 2, 181–193; arXiv:9608002.

[FJMM1] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and E. Mukhin, *Representations of quantum toroidal* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, J. Algebra **380** (2013), 78–108; arXiv:1204.5378.

[FJMM2] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and E. Mukhin, *Branching rules for quantum toroidal* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, preprint, arXiv:1309.2147.

[FT] B. Feigin and A. Tsymbaliuk, *Equivariant K-theory of Hilbert schemes via shuffle algebra*, Kyoto J. Math. **51** (2011), no. 4, 831–854; arXiv:0904.1679.

[G] N. Guay, *Affine Yangians and deformed double current algebras in type A*, Adv. Math. **211** (2007), no. 2, 436–484.

[GKV] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), no. 2, 147–160; arXiv:q-alg/9502013.

[GTL] S. Gautam and V. Toledano Laredo, *Yangians and quantum loop algebras*, Selecta Math. **19** (2013), no. 2, 271–336; arXiv:1012.3687.

[K] R. Kodera, *Affine Yangian action on the Fock space*, preprint, arXiv:1506.01246.

[KT] S. Khoroshkin and V. Tolstoy, *Yangian double*, Lett. Math. Phys. **36** (1996), no. 4, 373–402; arXiv:hep-th/9406194.

[M] K. Miki, *A* (q, γ) *analog of the* $W_{1+\infty}$ *algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), no. 12, 123520, 35 pp.

[MO] D. Maulik and A. Okounkov, *Quantum groups and quantum cohomology*, preprint, arXiv:1211.1287.

[Na] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), no. 3, 515–560.

[SV1] O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, *The elliptic Hall algebra and the K-theory of the Hilbert scheme of* $\mathbb{A}^2$, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 2, 279–366; arXiv:0905.2555.

[SV2] O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, *Cherednik algebras, W-algebras and the equivariant cohomology of the moduli space of instantons on* $\mathbb{A}^2$, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **118** (2013), 213–342; arXiv:1202.2756.

[T] A. Tsymbaliuk, *The affine Yangian of* $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ *revisited*, preprint, arXiv:1404.5240.

[V] M. Varagnolo, *Quiver varieties and Yangians*, Lett. Math. Phys. **53** (2000), no. 4, 273–283; arXiv:math/0005277.

A. Tsymbaliuk: Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA
E-mail address: otsymbaliuk@scgp.stonybrook.edu

M. Bershtein: Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Chernogolovka, Russia
Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia
National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia
Independent University of Moscow, Moscow, Russia
E-mail address: mbersht@gmail.com